arXiv:math/0612639v3 [math.RT] 8 Jan 2007

Continuous representations of groupoids

Rogier Bos*

Abstract

We introduce unitary representations of continuous groupoids on continuous fields of Hilbert spaces. We investigate some properties of these objects and discuss some of the standard constructions from representation theory in this particular context. An important rôle is played by the regular representation. We conclude by discussing some operator algebra associated to continuous representations of groupoids; in particular, we analyse the relationship of continuous representations of G and continuous representations of the Banach $*$-category $\hat{L}^1(G)$.

AMS subject classification: Primary: 22A30. Secondary: 46L08, 46L80.

Contents

1 Preliminaries: continuous fields. 3
1.1 Continuous fields of Banach/Hilbert spaces. 3
1.2 Uniformly finite-dimensional continuous fields of Hilbert spaces. 6
1.3 Banach/Hilbert C^*-modules. 7

2 Continuous representations of groupoids 8
2.1 Representations of a groupoid on a continuous field of Hilbert spaces. 8
2.2 Continuity of representations in the operator norm. 13
2.3 Example: the regular representations of a groupoid. 17
2.4 Example: continuous families of groups. 18
2.5 Representations of the global bisections group. 21

3 Groupoid representation theory 23
3.1 Decomposability and reducibility 23
3.2 Schur's lemma. 25
3.3 Square-integrable representations. 26
3.4 The Peter-Weyl theorem I. 28
3.5 The Peter-Weyl theorem II. 30
3.6 Morita equivalence. 32
3.7 Representation rings and K-theory of a groupoid 35

4 The groupoid convolution Banach *-category 36
4.1 Fell bundles over groupoids and continuous C^*-categories. 36
4.2 Representations of G versus representations of $\hat{L}^1(G)$. 38

---

[*] Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics; Radboud University Nijmegen, The Netherlands, `Email: R.Bos@math.ru.nl`, this work is part of the research programme of the 'Stichting voor Fundamenteel Onderzoek der Materie (FOM)', which is financially supported by the 'Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NWO)

Introduction

Our purpose is to study some of the basic theory of continuous representations in the context of groupoids. Some work in this direction was initiated by Westman in [24], [25]. Representations of groupoids occur naturally in geometry, since the parallel transport associated to a flat connection on a vector bundle is a representation of the fundamental groupoid of the base space. Another place where they occur is as vector bundles over an orbifold, since these correspond to representations of the groupoid representing the orbifold. Also, for a group acting on a space, equivariant vector bundles over that space correspond to representations of the associated action groupoid.

We shall look at representations not only on continuous vector bundles, but on continuous fields of Hilbert spaces. A reason why we not only consider representations on continuous vector bundles is the following. One should note that the regular representation of a groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ with Haar system is defined on a continuous field of L^2 functions on the target fibers. Even for very simple étale groupoids this is not a locally trivial field (consider the bundle of groups $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}) \backslash \{(-1, 0)\} \to \mathbb{R}$).

We introduce the notion of a representation of a continuous groupoid on a continuous field of Hilbert spaces. Continuous fields of Hilbert spaces were introduced and studied by Dixmier and Douady [4]. They play an important rôle in noncommutative geometry, as they occur as (Hilbert C^*-)modules of commutative C^*-algebras. Moreover, they are a rich source of noncommutative C^*-algebras, which are obtained as the algebra of adjointable endomorphisms of such modules.

We develop an extension of harmonic analysis from continuous groups to continuous groupoids. It is investigated to which extent one can prove statements like Schur's Lemma and the Peter-Weyl theorem in the context of groupoids. Indeed, one can give an analogue of the decomposition of $L^2(G)$ for a compact group, under suitable conditions. We conclude by discussing some operator algebra associated to continuous representations of groupoids; in particular the relation to the continuous Banach $*$-category $\hat{L}^1(G)$.

Let us mention that representations of groupoids were also studied by J. Renault [18]. But one should note that the representations discussed there are measurable representations on measurable fields of Hilbert spaces. These behave quite different from continuous representations as studied in the present paper.

As one will see in this paper, proofs of theorems in representation theory of groupoids heavily rely on the representation theory of groups. The differences mostly arise in dealing with the global topology of the groupoid and its orbit foliation.

Here follows an outline of the paper.

In the first section we resume some basic knowledge of continuous fields of Hibert spaces. This section contains no new material and its main purpose is to introduce and fix notation for continuous fields which are the main objects in the paper.

The second section introduces representations of groupoids on continuous fields of Hilbert spaces. We discuss several notions of continuity of representations and show how they relate. Then we treat two examples, namely the regular representation of a groupoid and representations of continuous families of groups. In the last part of the section we "embed" the theory of continuous groupoid representations in the theory of group representations. We discuss the topological group of global bisections of a groupoid and give a theorem that explains which representations of this group correspond to representations of the goupoid.

The third section treats harmonic analysis in the case of groupoids. We prove an analogue of Schur's Lemma and 2 versions of the Peter-Weyl Theorem. Then we consider Morita equivalence of groupoids and prove a theorem which states that Morita equivalent groupoids have equivalent representation categories. The last part of this section discusses the representation rings of a groupoid.

The last section is a continuous analogue of Reneault's theorem that gives a bijection between measurable representations of G and non-degenerate representations of the Banach algebra $L^1(G)$. We construct a bijection between continuous representations of G and continuous non-degenerate representations of the Banach $*$-category $\hat{L}^1(G)$.

We shall denote a groupoid G over M by $G \rightrightarrows M$. The source and target map are denoted by $s, t : G \to M$, the set of composable arrows $G\ {}_t\!\times_s G$ by $G^{(2)}$, composition by $m : G^{(2)} \to G$, the unit map $u : M \to G$ and inversion by $i : G \to G$ or $g \mapsto g^{-1}$.

The author would like to thank Gert Heckman, Peter Hochs, Klaas Landsman, Michael Mueger for helpful discussions on the topic and Maarten Solleveld for some comments on a earlier version of this paper.

1 Preliminaries: continuous fields.

In this section we introduce continuous fields of Banach spaces and continuous fields of Hilbert spaces. We discuss the topology on the total space of such fields. A good understanding of this topology is crucial for many constructions in the rest of this paper. We then focus on uniformly finite-dimensional continous fields of Hilbert spaces and Lemma 1.10 explains the structure of such a field. Finally, we discuss the relation of continuous fields of Banach/Hilbert spaces with Banach/Hilbert C^* -modules. This is important since the regular representation of a groupoid is constructed from a specific Hilbert C^*-module. Most of the material in this section can be found [4].

1.1 Continuous fields of Banach/Hilbert spaces.

Let M be a locally compact Hausdorff space.

Definition 1.1 A **continuous field of Banach spaces over** M is a family of Banach spaces $\{\mathcal{B}_m\}_{m\in M}$ and a space of sections $\Delta \subset \prod_{m\in M} \mathcal{B}_m$, such that

(i) the set $\{\xi(m) \mid \xi \in \Delta\}$ equals $\mathcal{B}_m$ for all $m \in M$.

(ii) For every $\xi \in \Delta$ the map $m \mapsto \|\xi(m)\|$ is in $C_0(M)$.

(iii) Δ is locally uniformly closed, i.e. if $\xi \in \prod_{m\in M} \mathcal{B}_m$ and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and each $m \in M$, there is an $\eta \in \Delta$ such that $\|\xi(n) - \eta(n)\| < \varepsilon$ on a neighborhood of m, then $\xi \in \Delta$.

There is a subclass of these continuous fields which has our special interest.

Definition 1.2 A **continuous field of Hilbert spaces over** M is a family of Hilbert spaces $\{\mathcal{H}_m\}_{m\in M}$ over M and a space of sections $\Delta \subset \prod_{m\in M} \mathcal{H}_m$ that form a continuous field of Banach spaces.

Remark 1.3 The second condition in Definition 1.1 can then be replaced by the requirement that for any $\xi, \eta \in \Delta$ the map $m \mapsto \langle \xi(m), \eta(m)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_m}$ is in $C_0(M)$. The field is called **upper (lower) semi-continuous** if $m \mapsto \|\xi(m)\|$ is just upper (lower) continuous for every $\xi \in \Delta$.

Lemma 1.4 *If* $(\{\mathcal{B}_m\}_{m\in M}, \Delta)$ *is a continuous field of Banach spaces, then* Δ *is a left* $C_0(M)$*-module.*

Proof. Let $f \in C_0(M)$ and $\xi \in \Delta$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $m \in M$ be given. Define

$$V_m := \{n \in M \mid |f(m) - f(n)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{\|\xi(m)\| + 1} \text{ and } |\|\xi(m)\| - \|\xi(n)\|| < 1\}$$

Then, for $n \in V$

$$\|f(n)\xi(n) - f(m)\xi(n)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{\|\xi(m)\| + 1}\|\xi(n)\| < \varepsilon.$$

Since $f(m)\xi \in \Delta$, we conclude by (iii) that $f\xi \in \Delta$. $\square$

Actually Δ is a Banach C^*-module (see the next paragraph).

Lemma 1.5 *If* $(\{\mathcal{B}_m\}_{m \in M}, \Delta)$ *is a continuous field of Banach spaces, then there is a topology on the total space* $\mathcal{B} := \coprod_{m \in M} \mathcal{B}_m$ *such that* Δ *equals the set of continuous sections* $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{B}) = C_0(M, \mathcal{B})$.

Proof. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, $V \subset M$ open and $\xi \in \Delta$, we define

$$U(\varepsilon, \xi, V) := \{h \in \mathcal{B} \mid \|h - \xi(p(h))\| < \varepsilon \text{ and } p(h) \in V\},$$

where $p : \mathcal{B} \to M$ is the projection of the total space on the base. One easily sees that these sets form a basis for a topology on $\mathcal{B}$. Indeed, suppose that $U(\varepsilon_1, \xi_1, V_1)$ and $U(\varepsilon_2, \xi_2, V_2)$ are two of them and $h \in \mathcal{B}$ lies in the intersection. By (1) there is a $\xi \in \Delta$ such that $\xi(m) = h$, where $m = p(h)$. Let $\varepsilon_i' = \varepsilon_i - \|h - \xi_i(m)\|$ for $i = 1, 2$. Choose any $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_i'$ for $i = 1, 2$. Define

$$V := \{m \in V_1 \cap V_2 \mid \|\xi(m) - \xi_i(m)\| < \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon \text{ for } i = 1, 2\}.$$

Then $U(\varepsilon, \xi, V) \subset U(\varepsilon_1, \xi_1, V_1) \cap U(\varepsilon_2, \xi_2, V_2)$.

Suppose $\xi \in \prod_{m \in M} \mathcal{B}_m$ is a continuous section. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $m \in M$ be given. Define $h := \xi(m)$. There is a $\xi' \in \Delta$ such that $\xi'(m) = h$. Let V be any open neighborhood of m, then $W := \xi^{-1}U(\varepsilon, \xi', V)$ is open and on W we have $\|\xi' - \xi\| < \varepsilon$. By (iii) we conclude that $\xi \in \Delta$.

Conversely, suppose $\xi \in \Delta$. Let $U(\varepsilon, \eta, V)$ be an open set in $\mathcal{B}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^{-1}U(\varepsilon, V, \eta) &= p(U(\varepsilon, \eta, V) \cap \xi(V)) \\ &= \{m \in M \mid \|\xi(m) - \eta(m)\| < \varepsilon\} \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\xi - \eta \in \Delta$, hence $m \mapsto \|\xi(m) - \eta(m)\|$ is continuous. We conclude that the above set is open, so that $\xi \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{B})$. $\square$

As a short notation we sometimes denote a continuous field of Banach spaces $(\{\mathcal{B}_m\}_{m \in M}, \Delta_{\mathcal{B}})$ by $(\mathcal{B}, \Delta)$.

Lemma 1.6 *For any continuous field of Banach spaces* $(\mathcal{B}, \Delta)$ *the map* $\|.\| : \mathcal{B} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ *is continuous.*

Proof. Suppose $h \in \mathcal{B}_m$ for certain $m \in M$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, take a $\xi \in \Delta$ such that $\xi(m) = h$ and

$$V := \|\xi\|^{-1}(\|h\| - \varepsilon/2, \|h\| + \varepsilon/2).$$

This is an open set, since $\|\xi\| : M \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is continuous. So, $h' \in U(\varepsilon/2, \xi, V)$, with $h' \in \mathcal{B}_{m'}$ implies

$$|\|h'\|_{m'} - \|h\|_m| \leq \|h' - \xi(m')\| + |\|\xi(m')\|_{m'} - \|h\|_m| \leq \varepsilon,$$

which finishes the proof. $\square$

Definition 1.7 A **morphism** $\Psi : (\mathcal{B}^1, \Delta^1) \to (\mathcal{B}^2, \Delta^2)$ **of continuous fields of Banach spaces** is a family of linear maps $\{\Psi_m : \mathcal{B}^1_m \to \mathcal{B}^2_m\}_{m \in M}$ such that the induced map $\Psi : \mathcal{B}_1 \to \mathcal{B}_2$ on the total spaces satisfies

$$\{\Psi \circ \xi \mid \xi \in \Delta^1\} \subset \Delta^2$$

and

$$m \mapsto \|\Psi_m\|$$

is a locally bounded map.

Here $\|\Psi_m\|$ is the operator norm of Ψ_m,

$$\|\Psi_m\| := \sup_{\|h\|_{\mathcal{B}^1_m}=1} \|\Psi_m(h)\|_{\mathcal{B}^2_m}.$$

The first condition has to be satisfied only on a dense subset of Δ^1 ([4], Proposition 5).

Lemma 1.8 *The map $\Psi : \mathcal{B}^1 \to \mathcal{B}^2$ is continuous iff Ψ is a morphism of continuous fields of Banach spaces.*

Proof. "$\Leftarrow$" Suppose $h \in U(\epsilon_2, \xi_2, V_2) \subset \mathcal{B}_2$ and $p(h) = m$. By (i), there is a $\xi_1 \in \Delta_1$ such that $\xi_1(m) = h$. Since $\Psi(\xi_1) \in \Delta_2$, the set defined by

$$V_1 := \{n \in M \mid \|\Psi(\xi_1) - \xi_2\|(n) < \varepsilon/2\} \cap V_2$$

is open. Let $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a locally bounded function such that $\|\Psi(\xi)\| < f\|\xi\|$ for all $\xi \in \Delta$. Let $V_1' \subset V_1$ be a small enough neighborhood of m such that f has a supremum K on V_1', then

$$\Psi(U(\frac{\varepsilon_2}{2K}, \xi_1, V_1') \subset U(\varepsilon_2, \xi_2, V_2)$$

Indeed, for any $h' \in U(\frac{\varepsilon_2}{2K}, \xi_1, V_1')$ with $p(h') = n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi(h') - \xi_2(n)\| &= \|\Psi(h') - \Psi(\xi_1(n)) + \Psi(\xi_1(n)) - \xi_2(n)\| \\ &\leq \|\Psi(h' - \xi_1(n))\| + \|\Psi(\xi_1(n)) - \xi_2(n)\| \\ &= K\|h' - \xi_1(n)\| + \tfrac{\varepsilon_2}{2} \\ &= K\tfrac{\varepsilon_2}{2K} + \tfrac{\varepsilon_2}{2} = \varepsilon_2. \end{aligned}$$

"$\Rightarrow$" $\Psi(\Delta_1) \subset \Delta_2$ by Lemma 1.5. Let $m \in M$ be any element. By continuity $\Psi^{-1}(U(1, 0, M))$ is open, so it contains an open neighborhood $U(\varepsilon, 0, V)$, where V is an open neighborhood of m. Hence, $\|\Psi\|$ is bounded on V. □

The map $\Psi : (\mathcal{B}^1, \Delta^1) \to (\mathcal{B}^2, \Delta^2)$ is an (isometric) isomorphism of continuous fields of Banach spaces if all the Ψ_m are (isometric) isomorphisms and $\Psi(\Delta^1) = \Delta^2$. In fact, one can replace the second condition by $\Psi(\Lambda) \subset \Delta^2$ for a dense subset $\Lambda \subset \Delta^1$ ([4], Proposition 6).

Let $(\{\mathcal{B}_m\}_{m \in M}, \Delta)$ be a continuous field of Banach spaces over M and $J : N \to M$ a continuous map. Define the **pullback continuous field** $J^*(\{\mathcal{B}_m\}_{m \in M}, \Delta)$ as follows. The fiber at $n \in N$ is $\mathcal{B}_{J(n)}$. The space of section $J^*\Delta$ is the smallest Banach space generated by $f \cdot J^*\xi$ for all $\xi \in \Delta$ and $f \in C_0(N)$, such that one obtains a continuous field of Banach spaces. It is the closure of $\{\xi \circ J \mid \xi \in \Delta\}$ as a Banach space (see next the paragraph). The continuous field thus obtained is denoted by $(J^*\{\mathcal{B}_m\}_{m \in M}, J^*\Delta)$. We shall need this construction in Section 3.6.

1.2 Uniformly finite-dimensional continuous fields of Hilbert spaces.

The **dimension of a continuous field of Hilbert spaces** is the supremum of the dimensions of its fibers. Note that dimension is a lower semi-continuous functions $M \to \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$. That is, $\dim : M \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ has a local minimum at every point. A continuous field of Hilbert spaces is **uniformly finite-dimensional** if it has finite dimension. One should distinguish between uniformly finite-dimensional and **finite-dimensional** continuous fields, which means that each fiber is finite dimensional.

Example 1.9 Consider the field over $\mathbb{R}$ with $\mathcal{H}_x := \mathbb{C}^n$ if $x \in [-n, -n+1) \cup (n-1, n]$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. This field is finite-dimensional, but not uniformly finite-dimensional.

The following lemma characterizes uniformly finite-dimensional continuous fields of Hilbert spaces. Suppose $d \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 1.10 *A d-dimensional continuous field of Hilbert spaces* $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ *over a locally compact Hausdorff space* M *is a sum*

$$\mathcal{H} \simeq \sum_{i \in I} E_i$$

of vector bundles $E_i \to U_i$*, where* $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ *is a locally finite open covering of* M*.*

Proof. For each $m \in M$ choose sections $\{\xi_j^m\}_{j=1}^{\dim(\mathcal{H}_m)}$, such that $\{\xi_j^m(m)\}_{j=1}^{\dim(\mathcal{H}_m)}$ forms a basis of $\mathcal{H}_m$. Let V_m be the set on which their images stay linearly independent and non-zero. This set is open, since

$$m \mapsto \det(\xi_1^m \mid \dots \mid, \xi_{\dim \mathcal{H}_m}^m) = \det((\xi_k^m \cdot \xi_l^m)_{kl})$$

is a continuous map. Indeed, this last expression is a polynomial in $\xi_k^m \cdot \xi_l^m$ for $1 \leq k, l \leq j$ which are in $C_0(M)$ by definition. Define a subfield by

$$E_m := \operatorname{span}\{\xi_j^m \mid m \in V_m, j = 1, \dots, \dim(\mathcal{H}_m)\}.$$

One easily sees that these are indeed vector bundles, since the V_m are trivializing neighborhoods and trivializing diffeomorphisms are

$$H|_{V_m} \to U_i^m \times \mathbb{C}^i, h \mapsto (p(h), \langle \xi_1^m, h \rangle, \dots, \langle \xi_i^m, h \rangle),$$

which finishes the proof. The collection $\{V_m\}_{m \in M}$ covers M, hence there is a locally finite subcover $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ with vector bundles $\{E_i \to U_i\}_{i \in I}$. These are the desired vector bundles. □

Corollary 1.11 *A continuous field* $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ *over a compact space* M *is uniformly finite-dimensional iff* Δ *is finitely generated over* $C_0(M)$*.*

Uniformly finite-dimensional continuous fields of Hilbert spaces over compact spaces arise as the regular representation of families of finite groups, cf. Section 2.3.

Definition 1.12 A continuous field $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ is **locally trivial** if for every $m \in M$ there exist a neighborhood $U \ni m$, a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}'$ and an isomorphism of continuous fields $\mathcal{H}|_U \to U \times \mathcal{H}'$.

Example 1.13 Locally trivial finite-dimensional continuous fields of Hilbert spaces are vector bundles.

Definition 1.14 A **local trivialization** around m of a continuous field $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ is a neighborhood $U \ni m$, a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}'$ of dimension $\dim(\mathcal{H}|_U)$ and an injective morphism of continuous fields $\mathcal{H}|_U \to U \times \mathcal{H}'$.

Such trivializations are important in Section 2.4.

1.3 Banach/Hilbert C^*-modules.

Let A be a C^*-algebra and A^+ the set of positive elements in A.

Definition 1.15 A **left Banach A-module** is a Banach space Δ, which has a left A-module structure $A \to \mathcal{B}(\Delta)$ and a linear map $\|\cdot\| : \Delta \to A^+$ such that for all $\xi, \eta, \chi \in \Delta$ and $a \in A$:

(i) the norm on Δ satisfies $\|\xi\|_\Delta = \sqrt{\|(\|\xi\|^2)\|_A}$,

(ii) $\|\xi + \eta\| \leq \|\xi\| + \|\eta\|$,

(iii) $\|a\xi\| = |a|\|\xi\|$, where $|a| := \sqrt{a^*a}$,

(iv) $\|\xi\| = 0$ iff $\xi = 0$.

As in the case of continuous fields, one has the subclass of Hilbert A-modules.

Definition 1.16 A **left Hilbert A-module** is a Banach space Δ, that has a left A-module structure $A \to \mathcal{B}(\Delta)$ and a sesquilinear pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \Delta \times \Delta \to A$ such that for all $\xi, \eta, \chi \in \Delta$ and $a \in A$:

(i) the norm on Δ satisfies $\|\xi\|_\Delta = \sqrt{\| \langle \xi, \xi \rangle \|_A}$,

(ii) $\langle \xi, \eta + \chi \rangle = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle + \langle \xi, \chi \rangle$,

(iii) $\langle \xi, a\eta \rangle = a \langle \xi, \eta \rangle$,

(iv) $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \langle \eta, \xi \rangle^*$,

(v) $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle > 0$ iff $\xi \neq 0$.

A **morphism of Banach $C_0(M)$-modules** is an operator $\Psi : \Delta_1 \to \Delta_2$, that intertwines the $C_0(M)$ action and is such that $\|\Psi\|$ is a locally bounded map $M \to \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 1.17 *Then there is an equivalence of categories of continuous fields of Banach (resp. Hilbert) spaces and left Banach (resp. Hilbert) $C_0(M)$-modules.*

Proof. (sketch, for a full proof see [4] §4), Suppose $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ is a continuous field of Hilbert spaces. Then Δ is a $C_0(M)$-module as proven in Lemma 1.4. Its completeness as a Banach space follows immediately from locally uniform completeness. This is one direction of the correspondence.

For the other direction, suppose Λ is a Banach $C_0(M)$-module. Define

$$N_m := \{h \in \Lambda \mid \|h\|(m) = 0\}$$

and $\mathcal{H}_m := \Lambda/N_m$. Denote the projection by $\pi_m : \Lambda \to \Lambda/N_m$. Define the space of sections by

$$\Delta := \{\xi_\lambda := (m \mapsto \pi_m(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}.$$

We check that this is indeed a continuous field of Hilbert spaces.

i) $\{\xi_\lambda(m) \mid \xi_\lambda \in \Delta\} = \Lambda/N_m$ trivially;

ii) $m \mapsto \|\xi_\lambda(m)\| = \|\lambda\|(m)$ is by definition continuous;

iii) suppose $\lambda \in \prod_{m \in M} \Lambda/N_m$ and suppose λ is locally uniformly close to sections in Δ. We want to show that this implies $\lambda \in \Delta$. Since Λ is complete as a Banach space it suffices to show globally uniformly close to a section in Δ. This one shows using a partition of unity argument. We omit the details.

If one begins with a Banach $C_0(M)$-module Λ, then produces a continuous field of Banach spaces, and from that again constructs a Banach $C_0(M)$-module, one trivially recovers Λ.

On the other hand, from a continuous field $(\{\mathcal{H}_m\}_{m\in M}, \Delta)$ one obtains the Banach $C_0(M)$-module Δ and once again this gives rise to a continuous field $(\{\Delta/N_m\}_{m\in M}, \Delta)$. An isomorphism $\Delta/N_m \to \mathcal{H}_m$ is given by $[\xi] \mapsto \xi(m)$. □

Remark 1.18 This correspondence shall be extended in Theorem 2.26.

The well-known Serre-Swan theorem states that for compact M there exists an equivalence of categories between finitely generated projective Hilbert $C(M)$-modules and locally trivial finite-dimensional continuous fields of Hilbert spaces (i.e. finite rank vector bundles) over M. Indeed, as mentioned on compact spaces M finitely generated Hilbert modules Δ correspond to uniformly finite-dimensional continuous fields. Moreover, one can show that Δ being projective corresponds to the field being locally trivial.

Example 1.19 Suppose $\pi : N \to M$ is a continuous surjection. A continuous family of measures on $\pi : N \to M$ is a family of measures $\{\nu_m\}_{m\in M}$ on N such that the support of ν_m is in $\pi^{-1}(m) =: N_m$ and for every function $f \in C_c(N)$ the function

$$m \mapsto \int_{N_m} f(n)\, \nu_m(dn)$$

is continuous $M \to \mathbb{C}$.

For any $p \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$ consider the norm on $C_c(N)$ given by

$$\|f\|_p := \sup_{m\in M} \|f|_{N_m}\|_{L^p(N_m,\nu_m)}.$$

Define $\Delta^p_\pi(N)$ to be the closure of $C_c(N)$ with respect to this norm. One easily sees that this is a Banach $C_0(M)$-module with $C_0(M)$-valued norm given by

$$\|f\|(m) := \|f|_{N_m}\|_{L^p(N_m,\nu_m)} = \left(\int_{N_m} |f(n)|^p \nu_m(dn)\right)^{1/p}.$$

The continuous field associated to this Banach $C_0(M)$-module is denoted by $(\hat{L}^p_\pi(N), \Delta^p_\pi(N))$. The fiber at $m \in M$ equals $L^p(N_m, \nu_m)$.

If $p = 2$ one obtains a Hilbert $C_0(M)$-module and hence a continuous field of Hilbert spaces. The $C_0(M)$-valued inner product is given on $C_c(N)$ by

$$\langle f, f'\rangle (m) := \langle f|_{N_m}, f'|_{N_m}\rangle_{L^2(N_m,\nu_m)} = \int_{N_m} \overline{f(n)} f'(n)\nu_m(dn).$$

2 Continuous representations of groupoids

2.1 Representations of a groupoid on a continuous field of Hilbert spaces.

In this section we introduce continuous representations of groupoids on continuous fields of Hilbert spaces. As far as we know this notion as we define it does not appear anywhere in the literature. We should mention the work of Westman [24, 25] though, who restricts himself to representations of locally trivial groupoids on vector bundles. Furthermore, there is a preprint by Amini [1], which treats continuous representations on families of Hilbert spaces with some continuity condition, but without condition i) of Definition 1.1.

As for representations of groups there are several forms of continuity for such representations. We consider “normal” and weak, strong continuity and in Section 2.2 also continuity in the operator norm. All these forms of continuity can be compared, cf. Lemma 2.6, Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.14, generalizing similar results for groups (cf. [6]). In Definition 2.8 we introduce morphisms of representations and we show in Proposition 2.10 that any representation of a proper groupoid is isomorphic to a unitary representation, generalizing a similar result for compact groups.

Let M be a locally compact space and $G \rightrightarrows M$ a continuous groupoid.

Definition 2.1 A **bounded representation** of G is a continuous field of Hilbert spaces $(\{\mathcal{H}_m\}_{m\in M}, \Delta)$ over M and a family of invertible bounded operators

$$\{\pi(g) : \mathcal{H}_{s(g)} \to \mathcal{H}_{t(g)}\}_{g\in G}$$

satisfying

i) $\pi(1_m) = id_{\mathcal{H}_m}$ for all $m \in M$,

ii) $\pi(gg') = \pi(g)\pi(g')$ for all $(g, g') \in G^{(2)}$,

iii) $\pi(g^{-1}) = \pi(g)^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$ and

iv) $g \mapsto \|\pi(g)\|$ is locally bounded.

We denote such a representation by a triple $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta, \pi)$.

Definition 2.2 A representation $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta, \pi)$ is **strongly continuous** if the map

$$g \mapsto \pi(g)\xi(s(g))$$

is continuous $G \to \mathcal{H}$ for all $\xi \in \Delta$. A representation is **weakly continuous** if the map

$$g \mapsto \langle \pi(g)\xi(s(g)), \eta(t(g))\rangle$$

is continuous $G \to \mathbb{C}$ for all $\xi, \eta \in \Delta$. A representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ is **continuous** if

$$\Psi : (g, h) \mapsto \pi(g)h$$

is a continuous map $G\, {}_s\!\times_p \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$. The representation is **unitary** if the operators $\{\pi(g) : \mathcal{H}_{s(g)} \to \mathcal{H}_{t(g)}\}_{g\in G}$ are unitary.

For any $\xi, \eta \in \Delta^\pi$ we use the notation $\langle \xi, \pi\eta\rangle$ for the map $G \to \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$g \mapsto \langle \xi(t(g))), \pi(g)\eta(s(g))\rangle \,.$$

Condition (iv) of Definition 2.1 is perhaps somewhat strange at first sight. The following Example 2.3, Lemma 2.4 and Example 2.5 should clarify it. Moreover, recall that for morphism Ψ of continuous fields the map $m \mapsto \|\Psi_m\|$ has to be locally bounded too, cf. Definition 1.7.

Example 2.3 A simple example shows that $g \mapsto \|\pi(g)\|$ is not always continuous. Consider the groupoid $\mathbb{R} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}$, with a continuous representation on a field given by trivial representation on $\mathbb{C}$ at each $x \in \mathbb{R}$ except in 0, where it is the zero representation. In this case the norm of π drops from 1 to 0 at 0.

Lemma 2.4 *For any continuous representation* $(\mathcal{H}, \pi, \Delta)$ *the map* $g \mapsto \|\pi(g)\|$ *is lower semi-continuous* $G \to \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Using the above definition and Lemma 1.6 we know that the map $(g,h) \mapsto \|\pi(g)h\|$ is continuous $G_s \times_p \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. For any $g \in G$, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Let $h' \in \mathcal{H}_{s(g)}$ be such that

$$|\|\pi(g)h'\| - \|\pi(g)\|| < \varepsilon/2.$$

by continuity there exists an open neighborhood $U \subset G_s \times_p \mathcal{H}$ of (g, h') such that $(g'', h'') \in U$ implies

$$|\|\pi(g'')h''\| - \|\pi(g')h'\|| < \varepsilon.$$

Take $V := pr_1(U) \subset G$. Then $g'' \in V$ implies, for any $h'' \in pr_2(U)$,

$$\|\pi(g'')\| \geq \|\pi(g'')h''\| > \|\pi(g)\| - \varepsilon,$$

and we are done. ☐

The function $g \mapsto \|\pi(g)\|$ is locally bounded if, for example, $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ is finite-dimensional.

Example 2.5 A counterexample of a continuous representation of a proper groupoid where $g \mapsto \|\pi(g)\|$ is not locally bounded $G \to \mathbb{R}$, even though the restriction to G_m is bounded for each m, is as follows.

Consider the trivial bundle of groups $[0,1] \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightrightarrows [0,1]$. Define a continuous field of Hilbert spaces over $[0,1]$ by $\mathcal{H}_0 := \mathbb{C}^2 =: \mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_x := \mathbb{C}^{2\,n}$ if $x \in [\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The topology on the field is obtained from the inclusions $\mathbb{C}^{2n} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2(n+1)}$ given by $\vec{v} \mapsto (0, \vec{v}, 0)$. Define, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in [\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n})$,

$$\pi(x, -1) := \text{diag'}(1/n, \ldots, 1/2, 1, 1, 2, \ldots n),$$

where diag' denotes the matrix filled with zeros except the diagonal from the upper right corner to the lower left corner, where the above sequence is filled in. Furthermore, $\pi(0,-1) := \text{diag'}(1,1)$. This representation is strongly continuous, but

$$\|\pi(x,-1)\| = n \text{ if } x \in \left[\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Hence $g \mapsto \|\pi(g)\|$ is not locally bounded at $(0, -1)$.

Lemma 2.6 *If a representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ is strongly continuous, then it is weakly continuous. The converse implication holds if the representation is unitary.*

Proof. Suppose $(\pi, \mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ is strongly continuous. Suppose $\xi, \eta \in \Delta^\pi$ and $g \in G$. Write $n = t(g)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Let $\xi' \in \Delta^\pi$ be a section satisfying $\xi'(n) = \pi(g)\xi(s(g))$. Choose a neighborhood $U \subset M$ of n such that $n' \in U$ implies $|\langle \eta(n'), \xi'(n') \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{n'}} - \langle \eta(n), \xi'(n) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_n}| < \varepsilon/2$. This is possible since $\langle \eta, \xi' \rangle$ is continuous on M. Since π is strongly continuous there exists an open set $V \subset G$ containing g such that for all $g' \in V$ one has $t(g') \in U$ and

$$\|\pi(g')\xi(s(g')) - \xi'(t(g'))\|_{\mathcal{H}_{t(g')}} < \varepsilon/(2 \sup_{n' \in U} \|\eta(n')\|).$$

Hence, for all $g' \in V$

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\langle \eta(t(g')), \pi(g')\xi(s(g')) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{t(g')}} - \langle \eta(n), \xi'(n) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_n}| \\
&\quad \leq |\langle \eta(t(g')), \pi(g')\xi(s(g')) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{t(g')}} - \langle \eta(t(g')), \xi'(t(g')) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{t(g')}}| \\
&\qquad + |\langle \eta(t(g')), \xi'(t(g')) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{t(g')}} - \langle \eta(n), \xi'(n) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_n}| \\
&\quad < \|\eta(t(g'))\| \varepsilon/(2 \sup_{n' \in U} \|\eta(n')\|) + \varepsilon/2 \leq \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

The converse implication is proven as follows. Suppose $(\pi, \mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ is weakly continuous unitary. Let $U(\varepsilon, \eta, V)$ be a neighborhood of $\pi(g)\xi(s(g))$ in $\mathcal{H}$ for a given $g \in G$ and $\xi \in \Delta$, where $\eta \in \Delta$ satisfies $\eta(t(g)) = \pi(g)\xi(t(g))$. We compute for any $g' \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta(t(g')) - \pi(g')\xi(s(g'))\|_{\mathcal{H}_{t(g')}} &= |\,\langle \eta(t(g')), \eta(t(g'))\rangle - \langle \eta(t(g')), \pi(g')\xi(s(g'))\rangle \\ &\quad - \langle \pi(g')\xi(s(g')), \eta(t(g'))\rangle + \langle \pi(g')\xi(s(g')), \pi(g')\xi(s(g'))\rangle\,|^{1/2} \\ &\leq (|\,\langle \eta(t(g')), \eta(t(g'))\rangle - \langle \eta(t(g')), \pi(g')\xi(s(g'))\rangle\,| \\ &\quad + |\,\langle \xi(s(g')), \xi(s(g'))\rangle - \langle \pi(g')\xi(s(g')), \eta(t(g'))\rangle\,|)^{1/2} \qquad (1) \end{aligned}$$

By weak continuity we can choose a neighborhood $W_g \subset G$ of g such that $g' \in W_g$ implies

$$|\,\langle \eta(t(g')), \pi(g')\xi(s(g'))\rangle - \langle \eta(t(g)), \pi(g)\xi(s(g))\rangle\,| < \varepsilon.$$

Since t is open and $\eta \in \Delta$, we can choose a $W'_g \subset W_g$ such that

$$|\,\langle \eta(t(g')), \eta(t(g'))\rangle - \langle \eta(t(g)), \eta(t(g))\rangle\,| < \varepsilon$$

Hence the first two terms of Equation (1) are smaller than 2ε. Analogously, the last two terms of Equation (1) are also smaller than 2ε, which finishes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 2.7 *If a representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ is continuous, then it is strongly continuous. The converse holds if π is unitary.*

Proof. Suppose $(\pi, \mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ is continuous. Suppose $g \in G$ and $\xi \in \Delta$. Let a neighborhood $U(\varepsilon, \eta, V) \subset \mathcal{H}$ of $\pi(g)\xi(s(g))$ be given such that $\eta(t(g) = \pi(g)\xi(s(g))$. Then, by continuity of π there exists a neighborhood $W_g \subset G_s \times_p \mathcal{H}$ of g such that $g' \in W_g$ implies $\Psi(W_g) \subset U(\varepsilon, \eta, V)$. Now, define a subset of G

$$W_G := \{g' \in G \mid (g', \xi(s(g'))) \in W_g\}.$$

This set is open since it equals $s^{-1}\xi^{-1}p_2(W) \cap p_1(W)$. If $g' \in W_G$, then

$$\|\eta(t(g')) - \pi(g')\xi(s(g'))\| < \varepsilon.$$

Conversely, suppose $(\pi, \mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ is strongly continuous and unitary. Suppose $(g, h) \in G_s \times_p \mathcal{H}$. Let $U(\varepsilon, \eta, V)$ be a open neighborhood of $\pi(g)h$ with $\eta(t(g)) = \pi(g)h$ as usual. Let ξ be any section in Δ such that $\xi(s(g)) = h$. Then by strong continuity there exists an open set $V_g \subset G$ such that $g' \in V_g$ implies $\|\eta(t(g')) - \pi(g')\xi(s(g'))\| < \varepsilon$. Define the set

$$W_{g,h} := \{(g', h') \in G_s \times_p \mathcal{H} \mid \|h' - \xi(s(g'))\| < \varepsilon, g' \in V_g\}.$$

It is easily seen to be open and $(g', h') \in W_{g,h}$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta(t(g')) - \pi(g')h'\| &\leq \|\eta(t(g')) - \pi(g')\xi(s(g'))\| + \|\pi(g')\xi(s(g')) - \pi(g')h'\| \\ &< \varepsilon + \|\pi(g')\|\|\xi(s(g')) - h'\| < 2\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof. $\square$

Definition 2.8 A **morphism of continuous (unitary) representations** $(\mathcal{H}^1, \Delta^1, \pi_1) \to (\mathcal{H}^2, \Delta^2, \pi_2)$ of a groupoid is a morphism $\Psi : (\mathcal{H}^1, \Delta^1) \to (\mathcal{H}^2, \Delta^2)$ of continuous fields of Hilbert spaces that intertwines the groupoid representations

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{H}^1_{s(g)} \arrow[r, "\pi_1(g)"] \arrow[d, "\Psi_{s(g)}"'] & \mathcal{H}^1_{t(g)} \arrow[d, "\Psi_{t(g)}"] \\ \mathcal{H}^2_{s(g)} \arrow[r, "\pi_2(g)"'] & \mathcal{H}^2_{t(g)}. \end{tikzcd}$$

Example 2.9 The trivial representation of a groupoid G is given by the continuous field $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ that has fiber $\mathbb{C}$ over each $m \in M$ and a map $\pi : G \to U(M \times \mathbb{C}) \simeq M \times U(\mathbb{C}) \times M$,

$$g \mapsto (t(g), 1, s(g)).$$

We give another example of a continuous unitary representation of a groupoid. For any continuous function $f : G \to \mathbb{R}$ we can construct the representation

$$\pi_f : g \mapsto (t(g), e^{2\pi i(f(t(g))-f(s(g)))}, s(g)).$$

These representation are all isomorphic. Indeed, let $f, g : G \to \mathbb{R}$ then

$$m \mapsto e^{2\pi i(f(m)-g(m))}$$

is an isomorphism $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta, \pi_g) \to (\mathcal{H}, \Delta, \pi_f)$. In particular all these representations are isomorphic to π_0, which is the trivial representation.

Recall that a groupoid is **proper** if $t \times s : G \to M \times M$ is a proper map.

Proposition 2.10 *If G is a proper groupoid endowed with a Haar system, then any continuous representation $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta, \pi)$ is isomorphic to a unitary representation.*

Proof. Suppose $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta, \pi)$ is a non-zero continuous representation of G. Let $c : M \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be a cutoff function (cf. [21], with t and s interchanged). It exists since G is proper. Define an inner product $\langle ., . \rangle^{new}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ by the following description: for all $m \in M$ and $h, h' \in \mathcal{H}_m$,

$$\langle h, h' \rangle^{new}(m) := \int_{G_m} \langle \pi(g)h, \pi(g)h' \rangle \, c(t(g)\lambda_m(dg).$$

This inner product is G-invariant, since the Haar system and t are right invariant. It gives rise to a new topology of $\mathcal{H}$. The isomorphism is the identity on $\mathcal{H}$, which is easily seen to be continuous. Indeed, let $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and let $U(\varepsilon, \xi, V) \ni h$ be an open set in $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to the old norm. Then there exists a an open set V' such that $V' \subset V$ and $g \mapsto \|\pi(g)\|$ is bounded on $t^{-1}V' \cap \operatorname{supp}(c \circ t)$. Since $c \circ t$ has compact support, the function

$$m' \mapsto \int_{g \in G_{m'}} \|\pi(g)\| c(t(g))\lambda_{m'}(dg)$$

is bounded on V'. Hence we can set

$$\delta := \frac{\varepsilon}{\sup_{m \in V'} \int_{g \in G_{m'}} \|\pi(g)\| c(t(g))\lambda_{m'}(dg)}.$$

Then $h' \in U(\delta, \xi, V')$ (in the old topology) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|h' - \xi(m')\|^{new}_{m'} &= \int_{G_{m'}} \|\pi(g)(h' - \xi(m')\| c(t(g))\lambda_{m'}(dg) \\ &\leq \int_{G_{m'}} \|\pi(g)\| c(t(g))\lambda_{m'}(dg) \|(h' - \xi(m')\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the continuity of the identity map.

The proof that the inverse (also the identity) is continuous proceeds similarly. One uses that

$$\begin{aligned}\|h' - \xi(m')\| &= \int_{G_{m'}} \|h' - \xi(m')\| c(t(g)))\lambda_{m'}(dg) \\ &= \int_{G_{m'}} \|\pi(g^{-1})\pi(g)(h' - \xi(m'))\| c(t(g)))\lambda_{m'}(dg) \\ &= \sup_{g \in G_{m'}} \|\pi(g)\| \int_{G_{m'}} \|\pi(g)(h' - \xi(m'))\| c(t(g)))\lambda_{m'}(dg)\end{aligned}$$

and local boundedness of $g \mapsto \|\pi(g)\|$. This finishes the proof. □

A representation $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta, \pi)$ is **locally trivial** if the continuous field $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ is locally trivial. In [21] locally trivial representations of a groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ are called G-vector bundles.

2.2 Continuity of representations in the operator norm.

In this section we go through quite some effort to define a suitable topology on the set of bounded linear operators $\{P : \mathcal{H}_m \to \mathcal{H}_n\}_{n,m \in M}$ for a continuous field of Hilbert spaces $(\{\mathcal{H}_m\}_{m \in M}, \Delta_{\mathcal{H}})$. This is done not only to be able to consider representations which are continuous in the operator topology, but the continuous field of Banach spaces thus obtained also plays a crucial rôle in Section 4. At first reading one could consider skipping the proofs.

Suppose $G \rightrightarrows M$ is a continuous groupoid and let $R_G := (t \times s)(G) \rightrightarrows M$ be the **orbit relation groupoid**. It is continuous if $s, t : G \to M$ are open maps. Let $(\{\mathcal{H}_m\}_{m \in M}, \Delta_{\mathcal{H}})$ be a continuous field of Hilbert spaces over M. Consider the continuous field of Banach spaces over R_G whose fiber at (n, m) is given by the bounded linear operators $\mathcal{H}_m \to \mathcal{H}_n$, i.e. $\mathcal{B}_{(n,m)} := \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_m, \mathcal{H}_n)$. This is indeed a Banach space for the norm

$$\|P\| = \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}_m, \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}_m} = 1} \|P(h)\|_{\mathcal{H}_n}.$$

We define a space of sections $\Delta_{\mathcal{B}}$ of the field to be those maps $(n, m) \mapsto P(n, m)$ in $\prod_{(n,m) \in R} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ such that

i) for every $m \in M$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}_m$

$$n \mapsto P(n, m)h$$

is in $\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}$,

ii) for every $n \in M$ and $\xi \in \Delta_{\mathcal{H}}$ the map

$$m \mapsto P(n, m)\xi(m)$$

is continuous $M \to \mathcal{H}_n$,

iii) The map $(n, m) \mapsto \|P(n, m)\|$ locally bounded, and

iv) P is adjointable, which means that there exists a $P^* : R \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$, satisfying i), ii) and iii), such that for all $\xi, \eta \in \Delta_{\mathcal{H}}$ one has $(\eta, P\xi) = (P^*\eta, \xi)$, more concretely: for all $(n, m) \in R$

$$\langle \eta(n), P(n, m)\xi(m) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_n} = \langle P^*(m, n)\eta(n), \xi(m) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_m}.$$

Lemma 2.11 *The pair* $(\{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n,\mathcal{H}_m)\}_{(n,m)\in R_G},\Delta_{\mathcal{B}})$ *is a lower semi-continuous field of Banach spaces.*

Proof. We first show that this is true for $R = M\times M$. Then the lemma easily follows since the above field is the restriction of the field to R (which is a closed subspace of $M\times M$), hence again a continuous field.

First, we prove lower semi-continuity of the norm of a section $P\in\Delta_{\mathcal{B}}$. This follows from the fact that the map

$$(n,m,h)\mapsto \|P(n,m)h\|_{\mathcal{H}_n}$$

is a continuous map $M\times M\times_p\mathcal{H}\to\mathbb{R}$, analogously to the proof of Lemma 2.4. This last statement is proven as follows. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. Suppose $(n,m,h)\in M\times M\times_p\mathcal{H}$. There exists a $\xi\in\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}$ such that $\xi(m)=h$. Then by condition (i), (ii), (iii) and continuity of $\|\xi\|$, there exists a neighborhood $W\in M\times M\times_p\mathcal{H}$ such that for any $(n',m',h')\in W$ the map $\|P\|$ is bounded on W and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\|P(n',m')h'\|-\|P(n,m)h\||\leq|\,\|P(n',m')h'\|-\|P(n,m')h'\|\,|\\
&\quad+|\,\|P(n,m')h'\|-\|P(n,m')\xi(m')\|\,|+|\,\|P(n,m')\xi(m')\|-\|P(n,m)\xi(m)\|\,|\\
&\leq\varepsilon+\|P(n,m')\|\varepsilon+\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we prove that for every $P\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n,\mathcal{H}_m)$ and every $\varepsilon>0$ there exist a $Q\in\Delta_{\mathcal{B}}$ such that $\|Q(n,m)-P\|<\varepsilon$. Suppose $P\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n,\mathcal{H}_m)$ and let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. Let $\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_k\in\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}$ be such that for any $h\in\mathcal{H}_m$

$$\|h-\sum_{i=1}^{k}\langle\xi_i(m),h\rangle\,\xi_i(m)\|<\varepsilon.$$

Let $\eta_1,\ldots,\eta_l\in\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}$ be such that for any $h\in\mathcal{H}_n$

$$\|h-\sum_{i=1}^{l}\langle\eta_i(n),h\rangle\,\xi_i(n)\|<\varepsilon.$$

Define, for $(n',m')\in M\times M$,

$$Q(n',m')h:=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{j=1}^{l}\langle\xi_i(m'),h\rangle\,\langle\eta_j(n),P\xi_i(m)\rangle\,\eta_j(n')$$

One easily checks that $Q\in\Delta_{\mathcal{B}}$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|Ph-Q(n,m)h\|\leq\|Ph-\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{k}\langle\xi_i(m),h\rangle\,P\xi_i(m)\|\\
&\quad+\|\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{k}\langle\xi_i(m),h\rangle\,P\xi_i(m)-\sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{j=1}^{l}\langle\xi_i(m'),h\rangle\,\langle\eta_j(n),P\xi_i(m)\rangle\,\eta_j(n')\|\\
&<\|P\|\varepsilon+\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

The last step is to show that $\Delta_{\mathcal{B}}$ is locally uniformly closed. Suppose

$$Q\in\prod_{(n,m)inM\times M}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n,\mathcal{H}_m).$$

Suppose that for all $\varepsilon>0$ and all $(n,m)\in M\times M$ there is a $Q'\in\Delta_{\mathcal{B}}$ such that

$$\|Q(n',m')-Q'(n',m')\|<\varepsilon$$

on a neighborhood V of (n,m). We shall now show that this implies $Q \in \Delta_{\mathcal{B}}$. Indeed, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and suppose $n \in M$. Then there exist Q' and V as above. Define $U := p_1(V)$. Then $n' \in U$ implies, for any $h \in \mathcal{H}_m$, that

$$\|Q(n',m)h - Q'(n',m)h\| \leq \|Q(n',m) - Q'(n',m)\|\|h\| < \varepsilon\|h\|.$$

Hence $n \mapsto \|Q(n,m)h\|$ is continuous. In a similar way one proves condition (ii) for Q which finishes the proof. ☐

We shall see in Lemma 4.2 that $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H})$ is a so-called lower semi-continuous Fell bundle over the orbit relation groupoid R_G and therefore a lower semi-continuous C^*-category. The collection of sets

$$\{U(\varepsilon,\xi,V) \mid \xi \in \Delta_{\mathcal{B}}, \varepsilon > 0, V \subset R \text{ open}\},$$

as defined in Lemma 1.5 for a continuous field of Banach spaces, is generally a subbasis for the topology on $\coprod_{(n,m)\in R} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n,\mathcal{H}_m)$, instead of a basis. Since the field is not continuous in general, we do not have $\Delta = \Gamma_0(R_G, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H}))$. Consider the restriction of the total space $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H})$ to the unitary operators, i.e.

$$U^{op}(\mathcal{H}) := \coprod_{(n,m)\in R} U(\mathcal{H}_m,\mathcal{H}_n),$$

endowed with the subspace topology.

Lemma 2.12 *The total space $U^{op}(\mathcal{H})$ is a continuous groupoid over M.*

Proof. We show that the composition $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H})^{(2)} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H})$ is a continuous map. First note that for every $(P,Q) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H})^{(2)}$ the inequality $\|PQh\| \leq \|P\|\|Qh\|$ implies

$$\|PQ\| \leq \|P\|\|Q\|.$$

Suppose that $P_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_p,\mathcal{H}_n)$, $P_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n,\mathcal{H}_m)$ and $U(\varepsilon,Q,V)$ is an open neighborhood of P_2P_1 such that $Q(p,m) = P_2P_1$. There are $Q_1, Q_2 \in \Delta_{\mathcal{B}}$ such that $Q_1(n,m) = P_1$ and $Q_2(p,n) = P_2$. Choose $\varepsilon_i > 0$ and an open subset $V_i \subset M$ such that $P_i' \in U(\varepsilon_i, Q_i, V_i)$ implies $\|P_i'\|\varepsilon_i < \varepsilon/3$ for $i = 1,2$. Furthermore, note that by condition (i), for each $m' \in M$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}_{m'}$ the map $n' \mapsto Q_1(n',m')h$ is in $\Delta_{\mathcal{B}}$. Hence by condition (ii) the map for each $p', m' \in M$ the map $n' \mapsto Q_2(p',n')Q_1(n',m')$ is continuous. The map $(p',m') \mapsto Q_2(p',n')Q_1(n',m')$ is easily seen to be continuous too. Hence we can shrink V_1 and V_2 such that $(p',n',m') \in V_2 \times_M V_2$ implies

$$|\,\|Q_2(p',n)Q_1(n,m') - Q_2(p',n')Q_1(n',m')\|\,| < \varepsilon/3.$$

Define $Q \in \Delta_{\mathcal{B}}$ by $Q(p',m') := Q_1(p',n)Q_2(n,m')$ Suppose

$$(P_2', P_1') \in U(\varepsilon_2, Q_2, V_2)_s \times_t U(\varepsilon_1, Q_1, V_1),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_2'P_1' - Q(p',m')\| &= \|P_2'P_1' - Q_2(p',n)Q_1(n,m')\| \\
&\leq \|P_2'P_1' - Q_2(p',n')P_1'\| + \|Q_2(p',n')P_1' - Q_2(p',n')Q_1(n',m')\| \\
&\quad + \|Q_2(p',n')Q_1(n',m') - Q_2(p',n)Q_1(n,m')\| \\
&< \|P_2' - Q_2(p',n')\|\,\|P_1'\| + \|Q_2(p',n')\|\,\|P_1' - Q_1(n',m')\| + \varepsilon/3 \\
&< \varepsilon_2\|P_1'\| + \|Q_2(p',n')\|\varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon/3 < \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Proving that the other structure maps are continuous is similar, but easier. ☐

Definition 2.13 A representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ is **continuous in the operator norm** if the map

$$G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}), g \mapsto \pi(g)$$

is continuous. If G is unitary, then the representation is continuous if

$$G \to U^{op}(\mathcal{H}), g \mapsto \pi(g)$$

is a continuous map of groupoids.

Lemma 2.14 *A representation is continuous if it is continuous in the operator norm. The converse implication is true if the representation Δ^π is finitely generated over $C_0(M)$ and unitary.*

Proof. Suppose $(g, h) \in G_s \times_p \mathcal{H}$ and let $n = t(g)$ and $m = s(g)$. Suppose $U(\varepsilon, V, \xi)$ is a neighborhood of $\pi(g)h$, with $\xi(n) = \pi(g)h$. Let $Q \in \Delta_{\mathcal{B}}$ be any section with $Q(n, m) = \pi(g)$, which exists since $(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}), \Delta_{\mathcal{B}})$ is a lower semi-continuous field of Banach spaces. Let $\eta \in \Delta_{\mathcal{H}}$ be a section such that $\eta(m) = h$. By the conditions i), ii) and iii) above there exists a neighborhood $S \subset R$ of (n, m) such that for all $(n', m') \in S$

$$\|\xi(n') - Q(n', m)h\| < \varepsilon/4,$$

the function $\|Q\|$ is bounded on S and

$$\|Q(n', m)\eta(m) - Q(n', m')\eta(m')\| < \varepsilon/4.$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta := \tfrac{\varepsilon}{4 \sup_{(n',m) \in S} \|Q(n',m')\|}, \\ &W' := U(\delta, \eta, p_2(S)), \\ &K := \sup_{h' \in W'} \|h'\|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$W := \pi^{-1}(U(Q, \frac{\varepsilon}{4K}, S)),$$

where $p_2 : M \times M \to M$ is the projection on the second entry. We claim that $(g', h') \in W_s \times_p W'$ implies $\pi(g')h' \in U(\varepsilon, V, \xi)$. Indeed, suppose $(g', h') \in W_s \times_p W'$ and $m' = s(g')$, $n' = t(g')$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi(n') - \pi(g')h'\| \quad &\leq \|\xi(n') - Q(n', m)h\| + \|Q(n', m)\eta(m) - Q(n', m')\eta(m')\| \\ &+ \|Q(n', m')\eta(m') - Q(n', m')h'\| + \|Q(n', m')h' - \pi(g')h'\| \\ &< \varepsilon/4 + \varepsilon/4 + \|Q(n', m')\|\delta + \|h'\|\tfrac{\varepsilon}{4K} < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

We shall now prove the converse implication. Suppose $(\mathcal{H}^\pi, \Delta^\pi, \pi)$ is a strongly continuous unitary representation on a continuous field of Hilbert spaces with Δ^π finitely generated. There exist a finite set $\{\xi_i\}_{i \in I}$ of sections in Δ^π such that for each $m' \in M$ the set $\{\xi_i(m')\}_{i \in I}$ contains a (normalized) basis for $\mathcal{H}_{m'}$. Suppose $U(\varepsilon, Q, V)$ is a neighborhood of $\pi(g)$, $s(g) = m$, $t(g) = n$ and $Q(n, m) = \pi(g)$. Note that by condition (i) $n' \mapsto Q(n', m)\xi_i(m)$ is in Δ^π, so by strong continuity of π there exists an open set $U_i \subset G$ such that $g' \in U_i$ implies

$$\|\pi(g')\xi'(s(g')) - Q(t(g'), m)\xi_i(m)\| < \varepsilon/(2|I|).$$

Moreover, by condition (ii) we can shrink U_i such that $g' \in U_i$ implies that

$$\|Q(t(g'), m)\xi_i(m) - Q(t(g'), s(g'))\xi_i(s(g')\| < \varepsilon/(2|I|).$$

Hence

$$\|\pi(g')\xi'(s(g')) - Q(t(g'),s(g'))\xi_i(s(g') < \varepsilon/|I|$$

for $g' \in U_i$. Define $U := \bigcap_{i\in I} U_i$, then $g' \in U$ implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\pi(g') - Q(t(g'),s(g'))\|\\
&\quad = \sup_{h'\in\mathcal{H}_{s(g')},\|h'\|=1} \|\pi(g')h' - Q(t(g'),s(g'))h'\|_{\mathcal{H}_{t(g')}}\\
&\quad < \textstyle\sum_{i\in I} \|\pi(g')\xi_i(s(g')) - Q(t(g'),s(g'))\xi_i(s(g')\|_{\mathcal{H}_{t(g')}}\\
&\quad < \textstyle\sum_{i\in I} \varepsilon/|I| = \varepsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof. □

From these comparision Lemmas (Lemma 2.6, Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.14) we can conclude that for unitary representations any of these topologies are equivalent. Hence from now on we shall not specify which notion we mean, but only say that a unitary representation is continuous (if it is).

2.3 Example: the regular representations of a groupoid.

The following example considers the regular representation. In a different form it was already studied by Reneault (cf. [18]), but this was on $L^2(G)$ as a measurable field of Hilbert spaces. We are interested in representations on continuous fields. Therefore, the statement of Lemma 2.15 is actually new. It generalizes the analogous statement for groups.

Suppose a continuous groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ is endowed with a **left Haar system**, i.e. a left G-invariant continuous family of measures $\{\lambda^m\}_{m\in M}$ for $t : G \to M$, cf. Example 1.19. The left G-invariance means that for every $m, n \in M$, $g' \in G^n_m$ and every $f \in C_c(G)$

$$\int_{G^m} f(g'g)\lambda^m(dg) = \int_{G^n} f(g)\lambda^n(dg).$$

Lemma 2.15 *The* ***left regular representation*** *of G on $(\hat{L^2_t}(G), \Delta^2_t(G))$ defined by (continuous extension of)*

$$(\pi_L(g)f)(g') = f(g^{-1}g'),$$

for $f \in C_c(G^{s(g)})$ and $g' \in G^{t(g)}$, is a strongly continuous and unitary representation.

Proof. Unitarity is immediate from the G-invariance of the Haar system.

We have to check that for all $\xi \in \Delta^2_t(G)$ the map $g \mapsto \pi_L(g)\xi(s(g))$ is continuous $G \to \hat{L^2_t}(G)$. Let $g \in G$. Suppose a neighborhood $U(\varepsilon,\eta,V) \subset \hat{L^2_t}(G)$ of $\pi_L(g)\xi(s(g))$ is given, where $\varepsilon > 0$, V an open set in M and $\eta \in \Delta_t(G)$ is a section satisfying $\pi_L(g)\xi(s(g)) = \eta(s(g))$. There exist $\xi', \eta' \in C_c(G)$ such that $\|\eta - \eta'\|_{\hat{L}^2} < \varepsilon/3$, $\|\xi - \xi'\|_{\hat{L}^2} < \varepsilon/3$ and $\pi_L(g)\xi'(s(g)) = \eta'(s(g))$. To continue we first need the following lemma due to A. Connes [2].

Lemma 2.16 *If f is a compactly supported continuous function on $G^{(2)}$, then the map*

$$g \mapsto \int_{h\in G^{s(g)}} f(g,h)\lambda^{s(g)}(dh)$$

is continuous on G.

We restate the proof for completeness.

Proof. Since $G^{(2)}$ is closed in $G \times G$, there exists a continuous and bounded extension $\bar{f}$ of f to $G \times G$ (we suppose here that G is a normal space). The map $(g, m) \to \int_{h \in G^m} f(g, h)\lambda^m(dh)$ is continuous, as is proven as follows. Let (g, m) be any element in $G \times M$ and let $\varepsilon' > 0$ be given. Since the Haar system is continuous and $\bar{f}(g', \cdot)$ converges uniformly to $\bar{f}(g, \cdot)$ for $g' \to g$. we can choose a neighborhood $W \in G \times M$ such that $(g', m') \in W$ implies

$$\left| \int_{h \in G^m} \bar{f}(g', h)\lambda^m(dh) - \int_{h' \in G^{m'}} \bar{f}(g', h')\lambda^{m'}(dh') \right| < \varepsilon'/2$$

and

$$\left| \int_{h' \in G^{m'}} \bar{f}(g', h')\lambda^m(dh') - \int_{h' \in G^{m'}} \bar{f}(g, h')\lambda^{m'}(dh') \right| < \varepsilon'/2.$$

As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \textstyle\int_{h \in G^m} \bar{f}(g', h)\lambda^m(dh) - \int_{h' \in G^{m'}} \bar{f}(g, h')\lambda^{m'}(dh') \right| \\
&\leq \left| \textstyle\int_{h \in G^m} \bar{f}(g', h)\lambda^m(dh) - \int_{h' \in G^{m'}} \bar{f}(g', h')\lambda^{m'}(dh') \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \textstyle\int_{h' \in G^{m'}} \bar{f}(g', h')\lambda^m(dh') - \int_{h' \in G^{m'}} \bar{f}(g, h')\lambda^{m'}(dh') \right| \\
&< \varepsilon'/2 + \varepsilon'/2 = \varepsilon'.
\end{aligned}$$

Restricting to $\{(g, m) \mid s(g) = m\} \subset G \times M$ gives the required result. □

Now, apply this lemma to the map

$$f(g', h') := |\xi'((g')^{-1}h') - \eta'(h')|^2.$$

As a result,

$$f(g') := \sqrt{\int_{h' \in G^{t(g')}} |\xi'((g')^{-1}h') - \eta'(h')|^2 \lambda^{t(g')}(dh')}$$

depends continuously on g'. Note that $f(g) = 0$, so that we can choose a neighborhood $U \subset G$ of g such that $f(g')$ is smaller than $\varepsilon/3$ if $g' \in U$. Finally, intersect U with $t^{-1}(V)$ to obtain the required open set in G whose image is a subset of $U(\varepsilon, \eta, V)$. □

In the same way one proves that the **right regular representation** of G on $(\hat{L}^2_s(G), \Delta^2_s(G))$ given by

$$\pi_L(g)h(g') := h(g'g)$$

(where $h \in L^2(G_{s(g)})$ and $g' \in G_{t(g)}$) is strongly continuous and unitary.

2.4 Example: continuous families of groups.

The following example can give the reader a feeling for the the issues on the global topology with continuous groupoid representations. We express the set of finite-dimensional continuous representations of a family of groups on a given continuous field of Hilbert spaces in terms of sections of a bundle (or family) of the sets of finite-dimensional continuous representations of each of the groups.

Suppose H is a locally compact group. Let $\mathrm{Rep}(H)$ denote the set of non-zero continuous unitary representations of H. This set can be endowed with a topology. Indeed, one uses the Jacobson topology on the primitive spectrum of the C^*-algebra $C^*(H)$. We shall not go into the details, since there is an easier description of the case that has our interest. Denote by $\mathrm{Rep}^n(H)$ the subspace of continuous non-zero unitary representations on $\mathbb{C}^n$ with standard inner product $\langle z, z' \rangle = \bar{z}z'$.

Lemma 2.17 *([3], 18.1.9) For every integer $n \geq 0$ a subbasis for the topology on $\mathrm{Rep}^n(H)$ is given by the sets*

$$U(\pi, \varepsilon, K) := \{\pi' \in \mathrm{Rep}(H) \mid \max_{g \in K} |\langle h', \pi(g)\, h\rangle - \langle h', \pi'(g)\, h\rangle| < \varepsilon, \forall h, h' \in S(\mathbb{C}^n)\},$$

for compact sets $K \subset H$, representations π and $\varepsilon > 0$. The set $S(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is the unit sphere in $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Suppose $s : G \to M$ is a continuous family of groups, i.e. $G = \coprod_{m \in M} G_m \to M$. *Fix* a finite-dimensional continuous field of Hilbert spaces $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$. Also choose for each $m \in M$ a group $H_m \simeq G_m$ and an isomorphism $\psi_m : G_m := s^{-1}(m) \to H_m$, fixing the group structure at each fiber. Endow $\coprod_{m \in M} H_m$ with the topology such that

$$\coprod_{m \in M} \psi_m : G \to \coprod_{m \in M} H_m$$

is a homeomorphism. Denote the canonical projection $\coprod_{m \in M} H_m \to M$ by s'.

Suppose $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a covering of M. For any open set U_i, define

$$\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathcal{H}}(G|_{U_i}) := \coprod_{m \in U_i} \mathrm{Rep}^{\dim(\mathcal{H}_m)}(H_m)$$

and the canonical projection

$$p_i : \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathcal{H}}(G|_{U_i}) \to U_i.$$

Suppose

$$\{\phi_i : \mathcal{H}|_{U_i} \hookrightarrow U_i \times \mathbb{C}^{\dim(\mathcal{H}|_{U_i})}\}_{i \in I}$$

is a local trivialization of $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$, cf. Definition 1.14. Define for all $i, j \in I$ the homeomorphism

$$\gamma_{ij} := \phi_j (\phi_i)^{-1} : \mathrm{im}(\phi_i)|_{U_i \cap U_j} \to \mathrm{im}(\phi_j)|_{U_i \cap U_j}.$$

We need the following technical notion. Suppose $p : N \to M$ is a continuous map. We say a set $K \subset N$ is **p-open-compact** if the restriction $K \cap p^{-1}(m)$ is compact for all $m \in M$ and the image $p(K) \subset M$ is open. We say that $p : N \to M$ is **locally open-compact** if every $n \in N$ has a p-open-compact neighborhood.

Example 2.18 If $p : N \to M$ is a fiber bundle with locally compact fiber, then it is easy to show that p is locally open-compact.

For each $i \in I$ the following sets form a subbasis of a topology on $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathcal{H}}(G)|_{U_i}$: For any $\xi, \eta \in \Delta$, $V \subset \mathbb{C}$ open and $K \subset \coprod_{m \in U_i} H_m$ s'-open-compact,

$$U(\xi, \eta, K, V) := \left\{\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathcal{H}}(G|_{U_i}) \mid \langle \xi, \pi\eta \rangle \left(K \cap H_{p_i(\pi)}\right) \subset V\right\}.$$

Define

$$\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathcal{H}}(G) := (\coprod_{i \in I} \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathcal{H}}(G|_{U_i}))/\sim,$$

where $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathcal{H}}(G|_{U_i})|_{U_i \cap U_j} \ni \pi_i \sim \pi_j \in \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathcal{H}}(G|_{U_j})|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ iff $\pi_j = \gamma_{ij} \pi_i \gamma_{ij}^{-1}$. The space $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathcal{H}}(G)$ is uniquely determined up to homeomorphism by the chosen covering $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ and isomorphisms $\{\psi_m : G_m \to H_m\}_{m \in M}$.

One easily sees that $s : G \to M$ being locally open-compact implies that the topology of $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathcal{H}}(G|_{U_i})$ restricted to each fiber is equivalent to the topology of Lemma 2.17.

Proposition 2.19 *Suppose that $s : G \to M$ is locally open-compact family of groups. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between continuous representations of $s : G \to M$ on $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ and continuous sections of* $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathcal{H}}(G)$.

Proof. A continuous unitary representation π of G on $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ corresponds to a continuous section of $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathcal{H}}(G)$, i.e. to a family of sections $\tilde{\pi}_i : U_i \to \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathcal{H}}(G)|_{U_i}$ given by

$$\tilde{\pi}_i(m) = \phi_i \circ \pi \circ (\psi_m^{-1} \times \phi_i^{-1}).$$

These are easily seen to be compatible, i.e. $\tilde{\pi}_j = \gamma_{ij} \tilde{\pi}_i \gamma_{ij}^{-1}$. It remains to show that each $\tilde{\pi}_i$ is continuous. Consider an open set $U(\xi, \eta, K, V)$ as above. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(U(\xi, \eta, K, V)) &= \{m \in U_i \mid \langle \xi, \pi\eta \rangle \,|_{K \cap H_m} \subset V\} \\ &= s'(K \cap \{g \in \coprod_{m \in U_i} H_m \mid \langle \xi, \pi\eta \rangle \subset V\}), \end{aligned}$$

which is open since K is s'-compact and π is continuous.

A continuous section $\tilde{\pi}$ of $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathcal{H}}(G)$ determines a continuous unitary representation by

$$\pi(g) := \phi_i^{-1} \circ \tilde{\pi}_i \circ (\psi_{s(g)}(g) \times \phi_i) \in U(\mathcal{H}_{s(g)}),$$

where $i \in I$ such that $s(g) \in U_i$. We only need to show that $\pi|_{G_{U_i}} \psi^{-1}$ is continuous. Suppose $\xi, \eta \in \Gamma^0(\mathrm{im}(\phi_i))$. Given $g \in \coprod_{m \in U_i} H_m$ and $V \subset \mathbb{C}$, let K be an s'-compact neighborhood of g and $W \subset K$ an open neighborhood of g. Consider $U(\xi, \eta, K, V)$. Define $W' := W \cap s^{-1} \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(U(\xi, \eta, K, U))$, which is open since s and $\tilde{\pi}$ are continuous. Then $g' \in W'$ implies

$$\langle \xi(s(g')), \pi(g')\eta(s(g')) \rangle = \langle \xi(s(g')), \tilde{\pi}(s(g'))(g')\eta(s(g')) \rangle \in U,$$

which finishes the proof. □

Example 2.20 Consider a locally compact group H and a continuous principal H-bundle $\tau : P \to M$. From this we can construct a continuous bundle of groups $P \times_H H \to M$, where the action of H on H is given by conjugation. Consider a local trivialization $\{\chi_i : P|_{U_i} \to U_i \times H\}_{i \in I}$ of $P \to M$. Suppose $I = \mathbb{N}$. One can fix the group structure at each fiber of $P \times_H H \to M$ as follows: for every $m \in M$ choose the smallest $i \in I$ such that $m \in U_i$ and define

$$\psi_m : (P \times_H H)_m \to H, [p, h] \mapsto \chi_i(p)\, h\, \chi_i(p)^{-1}.$$

Given a representation $(\pi, \mathbb{C}^n) \in \mathrm{Rep}^n(H)$, one can construct a vector bundle $\mathcal{H} := P \times_\pi \mathbb{C}^n \to M$. Obviously, the trivialization of $P \to M$ gives rise to a trivialization $\{\phi_i : \mathcal{H}|_{U_i} \to U_i \times \mathbb{C}^n\}_{i \in I}$ of $\mathcal{H} \to M$, by $\phi_i([p, z]) = (\tau(p), \pi(\chi_i(p))z)$. Using these data one can form the bundle $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathcal{H}}(P \times_H H) \to M$ and a topology on it. A continuous section of this bundle is given by

$$\tilde{\pi}_i(m) = (h \mapsto \pi(\gamma_{ij}^{-1} h \gamma_{ij}),$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in U_i$, $h \in H$ and the smallest $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m \in U_j$. This section corresponds to the representation of $P \times_H H$ given by $\tilde{\pi}([p, h])[p, z] = [p, \pi(h)z]$.

Remark 2.21 One can "twist" $\mathcal{H} := P \times_\pi \mathbb{C}^n$ by another continuous field $(\mathcal{H}', \Delta')$, carrying the trivial representation of $P \times_H H \to M$, to obtain a representation on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}'$. A similar construction is possible for any groupoid, cf. Lemma 3.45.

2.5 Representations of the global bisections group.

For the reader who prefers representation theory of groups and wonders why one should be interested in representations of groupoids at all, the next section will be of particular interest. Namely, to any continuous groupoid is associated a topological group: the group of global bisections. For a large class of continuous groupoids (the ones we call locally bisectional) we establish a bijection between continuous representation of the groupoid on continuoous fields of Hilbert spaces and a specific type of continuous representations of the group of global bisections on Banach spaces. Hence the representation theory of such groupoids can be "embedded" in the representation theory of groups. From this point of view, the groupoid offers a way to study the some representations of these groups of bisections.

Suppose $G \rightrightarrows M$ is a continuous groupoid. A **global bisection** is a map $\sigma : M \to G$ such that $t \circ \sigma = id_M$ and $\tilde{\sigma} := s \circ \sigma : M \to M$ is a homeomorphism. Denote the set of global bisections of G by $\mathrm{Bis}(G)$. This set has a group structure, cf. [23]. Moreoever, it is even a topological group.

Lemma 2.22 $\mathrm{Bis}(G)$ *has the structure of a topological group in the compact-open topology.*

Proof. The multiplication is given by

$$(\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)(m) := \sigma_1(m)\sigma_2(\tilde{\sigma}_1(m)).$$

The unit is given by the unit section $u : M \to G$ and the inverse is defined by

$$\sigma^{-1}(m) := (\sigma(\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}(m)))^{-1}.$$

The group laws are easily checked, for example

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma \cdot \sigma^{-1})(m) &= \sigma(m)\sigma^{-1}(\tilde{\sigma}(m)) \\ &= \sigma(m)(\sigma(\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}\tilde{\sigma}(m)))^{-1} \\ &= 1_m. \end{aligned}$$

We prove that multiplication is continuous $\mathrm{Bis}(G) \times \mathrm{Bis}(G) \to \mathrm{Bis}(G)$. Suppose $\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 \in U(C, V)$, where C is a compact set in M, V open in G and $U(C, V)$ the set of maps $\tau : M \to G$ that satisfy $\tau(C) \subset V$, i.e. $U(C, V)$ is in the standard sub-basis of the topology on $\mathrm{Bis}(G)$. For each $m \in C$, let V_m be a neighborhood of $(\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)(m) = \sigma_1(m)\sigma_2(\tilde{\sigma_1}(m))$. These V_m cover $\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2(C)$ which is compact by continuity of the multiplication in G and σ_1, σ_2. Let $\{V_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a finite sub-cover. The inverse image $m^{-1}(V_i)$ is open and contains a Cartesian product of opens $W_i^1 \times W_i^2$ for each $i \in I$. Then $\sigma_1' \in U(C, \bigcup_{i \in I} W_i^1)$ and $\sigma_2' \in U(\tilde{\sigma_1}(C), \bigcup_{i \in I} W_i^2)$ implies $\sigma_1' \cdot \sigma_2' \in U(C, V)$. $\square$

Example 2.23 The global bisection group of the pair groupoid $M \times M$ is the group of homeomorphisms of M.

Example 2.24 For any group bundle $G \times M$ over M the group of global bisections is just the group of sections with the pointwise multiplication. In particular, if M is the circle $\mathbb{S}^1$ and G a Lie group then the group of global bisections is the loop group $C(\mathbb{S}^1, G)$ with its usual topology (cf. [16]).

Lemma 2.25 *A continuous unitary representation* $(\pi, \mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ *of a groupoid* $G \rightrightarrows M$ *canonically induces a continuous isometric representation of* $\mathrm{Bis}(G)$ *on* Δ.

Proof. Define the representation $\tilde{\pi}$ of $\mathrm{Bis}(G)$ by

$$(\tilde{\pi}(\sigma)\xi)(m) := \pi(\sigma(m))\xi(\tilde{\sigma}(m)),$$

where $\xi \in \Delta$, $m \in M$ and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Bis}(G)$. This representation is isometric, since π is unitary:

$$\|\tilde{\pi}(\sigma)\xi\| = \sup_{m\in M} \|\pi(\sigma(m))\xi(\tilde{\sigma}(m)))\|_{\mathcal{H}_m} = \|\xi\|.$$

Continuity is proven as follows. Suppose $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\xi \in \Delta$ are given. There exists a compactly supported section $\xi' \in \Delta_c := C_c(M)\Delta$ such that $\|\xi - \xi'\| < \varepsilon/6$. Denote the support of ξ' by K. Moreover, since π is continuous and unitary it is norm continuous and hence there exists an open set $V \subset G$ such that $g, g' \in V$ implies $\|\pi(g)\xi'(s(g)) - \pi(g')\xi'(s(g'))\| < \varepsilon/3$. Now, suppose that $\sigma, \sigma' \in U(K, V)$ and $\eta \in B(\xi, \varepsilon/6)$, then

$$\sup_{m\in M} \|\pi(\sigma(m))\eta(\tilde{\sigma}(m)) - \pi(\sigma'(m))\eta(\tilde{\sigma}'(m))\| < \varepsilon,$$

which finishes the proof. □

The obtained representation of $\mathrm{Bis}(G)$ is actually $C_0(M)$**-unitary**, in the sense that

$$\langle\tilde{\pi}(\sigma)\xi, \tilde{\pi}(\sigma)\eta\rangle = \langle\xi, \eta\rangle$$

for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Bis}(G)$ and $\xi, \eta \in \Delta$.

For the following result we need a technical condition on groupoids. We call a continuous groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ **bisectional** if

(1) every $g \in G$ is in the image of a bisection;

(2) for all compact sets $K \subset M$ and open sets $V \subset G$, the set $\bigcup_{\sigma\in U(K,V)} \mathrm{im}(\sigma) \subset G$ is open.

Theorem 2.26 *Suppose $G \rightrightarrows M$ is bisectional. Then there is a bijective correspondence between continuous unitary representations of G and continuous $C_0(M)$-unitary representations of* $\mathrm{Bis}(G)$ *on a Hilbert $C_0(M)$-algebra satisfying*

- *$C_0(M)$-linearity, i.e.*
$$\tilde{\pi}(\sigma)(f\,\xi) = \tilde{\sigma}^* f\,\tilde{\pi}(\sigma)(\xi)$$
for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Bis}(G)$, $\xi \in \Delta$ and $f \in C_0(M)$ and
- *locality, i.e. if $\sigma(m) = 1_m$ for some $m \in M$, then $\|\tilde{\pi}(\sigma)\xi - \xi\|(m) = 0$*

Proof. Given a representation $(\tilde{\pi}, \Delta)$ of $\mathrm{Bis}(G)$ as above, define a representation $\pi : G \to U(\mathcal{H})$ as follows. Form the continuous field of Hilbert spaces $\{\mathcal{H}_m\}_{m\in M}$ associated to Δ. For any $g \in G$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}_{s(g)}$, define

$$\pi(g)h := (\tilde{\pi}(\sigma)\xi)(t(g)),$$

for any $\xi \in \Delta$ such that $\xi(s(g)) = h$ and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Bis}(G)$ such that $\sigma(t(g)) = g$, which exist by assumption. We now show that this definition does not depend on the choice of σ and ξ. Suppose ξ, ξ' satisfy $\xi(m) = h = \xi'(m)$. Let $\{U_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a family of sets such that $\bigcap_{i\in\mathbb{N}} U_i = \{s(g)\}$ and $\{\chi_i : U_i \to [0,1]\}$ a family of functions such that $\chi_i(s(g)) = 0$ and $\chi_i(n) = 1$ for all $n \in M\backslash U_i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\pi}(\sigma)\xi)(t(g)) - (\tilde{\pi}(\sigma)\xi')(t(g)) &= \lim_{i\to\infty} (\tilde{\pi}(\sigma)\chi_i(\xi - \xi'))(t(g)) \\ &= \lim_{i\to\infty} \chi_i(\tilde{\sigma}(t(g)))(\tilde{\pi}(\sigma)(\xi - \xi'))(t(g)) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $\tilde{\pi}$ is $C_0(M)$-linear and $\tilde{\sigma}(t(g)) = s(g)$.

Suppose $\sigma(m) = \sigma'(m)$ for $\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathrm{Bis}(G)$ and $m \in M$. Then, by locality, for all $\xi \in \Delta$

$$\|\tilde{\pi}(\sigma^{-1}\, \sigma')\xi - \xi\|(m) = 0,$$

and hence $(\tilde{\pi}(\sigma)\xi)(m) = (\tilde{\pi}(\sigma')\xi)$.

Unitarity of π follows at once from $C_0(M)$-unitarity of $\tilde{\pi}$.

Next, we prove continuity of π. Suppose $(g, h) \in G\,{}_s{\times}_p\,\mathcal{H}$ and $U(\varepsilon, \eta, V)$ open neighborhood of $\pi(g)h$, where $\eta(t(g)) = \pi(g)h$. We need to construct an open neigborhood of (g, h), which maps to $U(\varepsilon, \eta, V)$. Consider

$$B(\eta, \varepsilon) := \xi \in \Delta \mid \|\eta - \xi\| < \varepsilon.$$

Let a $\sigma \in \mathrm{Bis}(G)$ be such that $\sigma(t(g)) = g$, which exists since G is bisectional. Define $\xi := \bar{\pi}(\sigma)^{-1}\eta$. By continuity of $\bar{\pi}$ there exists an open neighborhood $B(\xi, \delta)$ of ξ and an open neighborhood $U(K, W)$ of σ such that $\bar{\pi}(U(K, W) \times B(\xi, \delta)) \subset B(\eta, \varepsilon)$. Since $G \rightrightarrows M$ is bisectional, there exists an open neighborhood W' of g in $\bigcup_{\sigma \in U(K,W)} \mathrm{im}(\sigma)$.

Suppose that $(g', h') \in W'\,{}_s{\times}_p\,U(\xi, \delta, \tilde{\sigma}^{-1}(V))$, then

$$\pi(g')h' = (\bar{\pi}(\sigma')\xi')(t(g')) \in U(\varepsilon, \eta, V),$$

for some $\sigma' \in U(K, W)$ and $\xi' \in B(\xi, \delta)$.

One easily sees that the constructions given in this proof to obtain representations of G from representations of $\mathrm{Bis}(G)$ and vice versa in the proof of the above lemma are inverses of each other. $\square$

3 Groupoid representation theory

Is there a Schur's Lemma for groupoids? Is there a Peter-Weyl theorem for groupoids? In this section we give answers to these questions. We discuss a way to generalize these statements to groupoids. It turns out that you need extra conditions on the groupoid for the statements to be true (unlike what is suggested in [1]). A crucial rôle is played by the functors that restict representations of a groupoid to representations of its isotropy groups. This section shows that representation theory of groupoids is quite different from representation theory for groups, but many results can be carried over using some caution.

3.1 Decomposability and reducibility

Definition 3.1 The direct sum of a family of continuous representations $\{(\mathcal{H}^i, \Delta_i, \pi_i)\}_{i \in I}$ of a groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ is defined as follows. The family of Hilbert spaces is given by $\mathcal{H}_m := \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}^i_m$. The space Δ is the smallest Banach space containing all finite sums of sections $\sum_{j \in J} \xi_j$, where $\xi_j \in \Delta_j$, such that $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ is a continuous field of Hilbert spaces. The representation of G on $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ is given by extending the map $\bigoplus_{j \in J} \pi_j : g \mapsto \sum_{j \in J} \pi_j(g)$ on finite sums.

We say that that a continuous unitary representation $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ of a groupoid G is **decomposable** if it is equivariantly isomorphic to a direct sum of representations $(\mathcal{H}^1, \pi_1)$ and $(\mathcal{H}^2, \pi_2)$

$$\mathcal{H} \simeq \mathcal{H}^1 \oplus \mathcal{H}^2.$$

and **indecomposable** if this is not possible.

A **continuous subfield** of a continuous field of Hilbert spaces $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ is a continuous field $(\mathcal{H}', \Delta')$, such that $\mathcal{H}'_m \subset \mathcal{H}_m$ is a closed linear subspace with the induced inner product for all $m \in M$ and $\Delta' \subset \Delta$.

Definition 3.2 A **continuous subrepresentation** of a continuous unitary representation $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ of a groupoid G is a continuous subfield of $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ stable under π.

Proposition 3.3 *If* $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta, \pi)$ *is a continuous locally trivial unitary representation and* $(\mathcal{H}', \Delta', \pi')$ *a locally trivial subrepresentation of* $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta, \pi)$*, then* $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta, \pi)$ *decomposes as a direct sum of* $(\mathcal{H}', \Delta', \pi')$ *and another subrepresentation.*

Proof. For each $m \in M$ let $\mathcal{H}''_m$ be the orthogonal complement with respect to the inner product. The family $\{\mathcal{H}''_m\}_{m \in M}$ forms a continuous field, with

$$\Delta'' := \{\xi \in \Delta \mid \xi(m) \in \mathcal{H}''_m \text{ for all } m \in M\},$$

since $\mathcal{H}$ is locally trivial. Moreover, $(\mathcal{H}'', \Delta'')$ is locally trivial too. Since π is unitary, this complement is G-invariant. ☐

A continuous unitary representation is **reducible** if it has a proper continuous subrepresentation. It is **irreducible** if it is not reducible.

Example 3.4 A simple example is a family of groups over a discrete set. A representation of such a family is irreducible iff it has support on one point, where it is an irreducible representation of the group at that point.

Decomposability implies reducibility (irreducible implies indecomposable), but not vice versa. Indeed, a representation can contain a subrepresentation without being decomposable.

Example 3.5 For example, consider the trivial representation of $\mathbb{R} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}$ on $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}, C_0(\mathbb{R}))$. It has a subrepresentation given by the continuous field of Hilbert spaces which is 0 at 0 and $\mathbb{C}$ elsewhere, with space of sections

$$C_0^0(M) := \{f \in C_0(M) \mid f(0) = 0\}.$$

This subrepresentation has no complement, since this would be a field that is $\mathbb{C}$ at 0 and zero elsewhere, whose only continuous section could be the zero section. Note that $\mathbb{R} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}$ is an example of a groupoid which has no continuous irreducible representations.

Define the **support of a continuous field of Hilbert spaces** $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta_{\mathcal{H}})$ by

$$\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{H}, \Delta_{\mathcal{H}}) := \{m \in M \mid \mathcal{H}_m \neq 0\}.$$

This last set equals

$$\{m \in M \mid \xi(m) \neq 0 \text{ for some } \xi \in \Delta_{\mathcal{H}}\}.$$

One easily sees that for all continuous fields of Hilbert spaces $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta_{\mathcal{H}})$ the support $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{H}, \Delta_{\mathcal{H}})$ is open in M.

Lemma 3.6 *If the support of a continuous unitary representation* $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta_{\mathcal{H}}, \pi)$ *of a groupoid* G *properly contains a closed union of orbits, then it is reducible.*

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta_{\mathcal{H}}, \pi)$ be a continuous representation of G. Suppose $Gm \subset M$ is a closed orbit. Define a new continuous field of Hilbert spaces by

$$\mathcal{H}'_m := \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_m & \text{if } m \notin Gm \\ 0 & \text{if } m \in Gm \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{H}'} := \{\xi \in \Delta \mid \xi|_{Gm} = 0\},$$

The groupoid G represents on $(\mathcal{H}', \Delta')$ by

$$\pi'(g) := \begin{cases} \pi(g) & \text{if } s(g) \notin Gm \\ id_0 & \text{if } m \in Gm \end{cases}$$

One easily sees that $(\mathcal{H}', \Delta_{\mathcal{H}'}, \pi')$ is a continuous subrepresentation of $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta_{\mathcal{H}}, \pi)$. □

The representation $(\mathcal{H}', \Delta_{\mathcal{H}'}, \pi')$ is called the restriction of $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta_{\mathcal{H}}, \pi)$ to $(Gm)^c$.

Example 3.7 If a groupoid G is proper (i.e. $t \times s : G \to M \times M$ is proper), then its orbits are closed. Hence an irreducible representation must consist of one orbit, which is clopen, since it is the support of a continuous field and the orbit of a proper groupoid. Therefore, a Hausdorff space $M \rightrightarrows M$ has an irreducible representation iff it has a discrete point $m \in M$.

Along the same lines one can easily show:

Lemma 3.8 *If the support of a representation properly contains a clopen set closed under G, then the representation is decomposable.*

As an example, consider Example 3.4.

3.2 Schur's lemma.

In the previous section we have seen that in many cases of interest the irreducible representations do exist. Therefore, we introduce the weaker notion of M-irreducibility. A continuous representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ of a groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ is called **M-irreducible** if the restriction of π to each of the isotropy groups is an irreducible representation. Obviously, if a representation is irreducible, then it is M-irreducible. The converse does not hold as we have seen in Example 3.5.

Example 3.9 Suppose H is a topological group, $P \to M$ a continuous principal H-bundle and (π, V) an irreducible representation of H. Then, $P \times_H V \to M$ carries a canonical M-irreducible (but reducible) representation of the bundle of groups $P \times_H H \to M$ (cf. Section 2.4).

Example 3.10 If M is a topological space with a non-trivial rank 2 vector bundle $E \to M$. Then $E \to M$ is not M-irreducible as a representation of $M \rightrightarrows M$, even though it might be indecomposable.

Example 3.11 A morphism of M-irreducible continuous representations is not necessarily an isomorphism or the zero map, even if the restriction to each isotropy group is an irreducible representation. A counterexample is given by the following: let G be the constant bundle of groups $\mathbb{R} \times U(1) \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}$. It represents M-irreducible on the trivial rank one vector bundle $\mathcal{H} := \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$ over $\mathbb{R}$ by scalar multiplication. The map $\Psi : (x, z) \mapsto (x, x \cdot z)$ is an equivariant adjointable map $\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$, not equal to a scalar times the identity or zero.

What one does see in this example is that Ψ is a function times the identity on $\mathcal{H}$, namely the function $\lambda : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}, x \mapsto x$, i.e. $\psi = \lambda 1_{\mathcal{H}}$. An alternative formulation of Schur's lemma for groupoids would be that an endomorphism of an M-irreducible representation $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ is a function $\lambda \in C(M)$ times the identity on $\mathcal{H}$.

For a continuous groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of continuous unitary representations by $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$. Denote the subset of isomorphism classes of indecomposable representation by $\mathrm{IdRep}(G)$, the subset isomorphism classes of of irreducible representations by $\mathrm{IrRep}(G)$ and the set of isomorphism classes of M-irreducible representations by M-$\mathrm{IrRep}(G)$.

Lemma 3.12 (Schur's Lemma for groupoids) *Suppose $(\pi_i, \mathcal{H}^i, \Delta^i)$ is an M-irreducible representation for $i = 1, 2$.*

i) every equivariant endomorphism $\Psi : \mathcal{H}^1 \to \mathcal{H}^1$ is equal to a continuous function $\lambda \in C(M)$ times the identity on E, i.e. $\psi = \lambda 1_{\mathcal{H}^1}$.

ii) If $\Phi : \mathcal{H}^1 \to \mathcal{H}^2$ is a morphism of representations then Φ_m is either an isomorphism or the zero map $\mathcal{H}^1_m \to \mathcal{H}^2_m$ for all $m \in M$.

iii) If, furthermore, $\mathrm{Res}_m : M\text{-}\mathrm{IrRep}(G) \to \mathrm{IrRep}(G^m_m)$ is injective for every $m \in M$, then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{H}^1, \mathcal{H}^2) = \begin{cases} \textit{a line bundle} & \textit{if } (\pi_1, \mathcal{H}^1, \Delta^1) \simeq (\pi_2, \mathcal{H}^2, \Delta^2); \\ 0 & \textit{if } (\pi_1, \mathcal{H}^1, \Delta^1) \not\simeq (\pi_2, \mathcal{H}^2, \Delta^2). \end{cases}$$

The proof follows easyly from the analogous statement for groups.

Example 3.13 Suppose $P \to M$ is a principal H-bundle for a group H. If $G \rightrightarrows M$ is the gauge groupoid $P \times_H P \rightrightarrows M$, then every irreducible representation is M-irreducible. Moreover, $\mathrm{Res}_m : M\text{-}\mathrm{IrRep}(G) \to \mathrm{IrRep}(G^m_m)$ is injective for all $m \in M$.

Example 3.14 Consider the two-sphere as a groupoid $S^2 \rightrightarrows S^2$. It is proper and all indecomposable vector bundles over S^2 have rank one. These are M-irreducible representations, but obviously $\mathrm{Res}_m : M\text{-}\mathrm{IrRep}(S^2) \to \mathrm{IrRep}(\{m\})$ is not injective for any $m \in M$.

Corollary 3.15 *If a continuous groupoid G has the property that for all $m \in M$ the restriction map*

$$\mathrm{Res}_m : M\text{-}\mathrm{IrRep}(G) \to \mathrm{IrRep}(G^m_m)$$

is injective, then for any two non-isomorphic M-irreducible unitary representations $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta, \pi)$, $(\mathcal{H}', \Delta', \pi')$ and $\xi, \eta \in \Delta$, $\xi', \eta' \in \Delta'$,

$$\langle \langle \xi, \pi\eta \rangle, \langle \xi', \pi'\eta' \rangle \rangle_{\hat{L}^2(G)} = 0$$

Proof. This easily follows from the version of this statement for compact groups and the invariance of the Haar system. □

3.3 Square-integrable representations.

In this section we define the notion of square-integrability for continuous groupoid representations. In the end, we prove that for proper groupoids, with M/G compact, unitary representations are square-integrable, generalizing an analogous result for compact groups.

Suppose $G \rightrightarrows M$ is a locally compact groupoid endowed with a Haar system $\{\lambda_m\}_{m \in M}$, which desintegrates as $\lambda_m = \int_{n \in t(G_m)} \lambda^n_m \mu_m(dn)$, for a Haar system $\{\mu_m\}_{m \in M}$ on $R_G \rightrightarrows M$ and a continuous family of measures $\{\lambda^n_m\}_{(n,m) \in R_G}$ on $t \times s : G \to M \times M$. Using the family $\{\lambda^n_m\}_{(n,m) \in R_G}$ one can construct the continuous field of Hilbert spaces

$$(\hat{L}^2(G), \Delta^2(G)) := (\hat{L}^2_{t \times s}(G), \Delta^2_{t \times s}(G)),$$

over R_G, cf. Example 1.19.

Example 3.16 Very simple example of this is the following. If M is a space and μ a measure on it and H a Lie group with Haar measure λ. Then the trivial transitive groupoid $M \times G \times M \rightrightarrows M$ with isotropy groups H has a Haar system $\{\lambda_m = \lambda \times \mu\}_{m \in M}$. Obviously, this decomposes as $\lambda_m = \int_{n \in M} \lambda \mu(dn)$, hence

$$(\hat{L}^2(G), \Delta^2(G)) = (L^2(G, \lambda) \times (M \times M), C_0(M \times M, L^2(G, \lambda)).$$

A map $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$ is called $\hat{L}^2(G)$**-square integrable** if the induced map

$$(m, n) \mapsto (g \mapsto f(g), G_m^n \to \mathbb{C})$$

is in $\Delta^2(G)$.

The **conjugate representation** $(\bar{\mathcal{H}}, \bar{\Delta}, \bar{\pi})$ of a representation $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta, \pi)$ is defined as follows. The family of Hilbert spaces is given by $\bar{\mathcal{H}}_m = \mathcal{H}_m$ as Abelian groups, but with conjugate complex scalar multiplication. Also, the space of sections $\bar{\Delta} = \Delta$ remains the same (but with conjugate $C_0(M)$-action). The representation of G on $(\bar{\mathcal{H}}, \Delta)$ is given by $\bar{\pi}(g)h = \pi(g)h$, where $h \in \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{s(g)}$.

The **tensor product** $(\mathcal{H}^1 \otimes \mathcal{H}^2, \Delta^{\otimes}, \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2)$ **of two continuous representations** $(\mathcal{H}^1, \Delta^1, \pi_1)$ and $(\mathcal{H}^2, \Delta^2, \pi_2)$ of a groupoid G is defined as follows. The family of Hilbert spaces is given by $\mathcal{H}_m := \mathcal{H}_m^1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_m^2$. The space $\Delta^{\otimes}$ is the smallest Banach space containing all finite sums of sections $\sum_{j \in J} \xi_j \otimes \eta_j$ of $\xi_j \in \Delta^1$ and $\eta_j \in \Delta^2$, such that $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ is a continuous field of Hilbert spaces. The representation of G on $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ is given by linearly extending the map $(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2)(g)(h \otimes h') = \pi(g)h \otimes \pi(g)h'$.

Definition 3.17 A continuous representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ is **square-integrable** if the map

$$(\bar{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \mathcal{H}, \Delta^{\otimes}) \to (\hat{L^2}(G), \Delta^2(G))$$

given by

$$h_2 \otimes h_1 \mapsto (g \mapsto (h_2, \pi(g)h_1)_{\mathcal{H}_{t(g)}}$$

is a map of continuous fields of Hilbert spaces.

This means that the **matix coefficients** $\langle \xi, \pi\eta \rangle$, defined by

$$(n, m) \mapsto (g \mapsto \langle \xi(n), \pi(g)\eta(m) \rangle)$$

for $\xi, \eta \in \Delta$ are $\hat{L}^2(G)$-square-integrable maps.

Example 3.18 For example, consider a topological space M. A (finite-dimensional) vector bundle $E \to M$ is a square-integrable representation of $M \rightrightarrows M$.

Example 3.19 Consider the family of continuous groups $G := (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \backslash (0, -1) \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}$. One easily sees that the trivial representation $g \mapsto id_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}, C_0(\mathbb{R}))$ is not square-integrable. But, note that G is not proper (although for every $m \in M$ the set $s^{-1}(m) = t^{-1}(m)$ is compact).

Lemma 3.20 *If $G \rightrightarrows M$ is proper and M/G compact, then every unitary representation is square-integrable.*

Proof. Suppose $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta, \pi)$ is a unitary representation and $\xi, \eta \in \Delta$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $\xi', \eta' \in C_c(M)\Delta$ such that $\|\xi - \xi'\| < \varepsilon'$ and $\|\eta - \eta'\| < \varepsilon'$, where

$$\varepsilon' = \frac{\min\{\varepsilon, 1\}}{3M \max\{\|\xi\|, \|\eta\|\}}$$

and

$$M = \max_{(n,m)\in R_G} \lambda^n_m(G^n_m),$$

which exists since M/G is compact. First note that $\langle \xi', \pi\eta'\rangle$ has compact support, since $G \rightrightarrows M$ is proper. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \| \langle \xi, \pi\,\eta\rangle - \langle \xi', \pi\eta'\rangle \|_{\hat{L}^2} &\leq \| \langle (\xi - \xi'), \pi\,\eta\rangle \| + \| \langle \xi', \pi\,(\eta - \eta')\rangle \| \\ &\leq \max_{(n,m)\in R_G} \lambda^n_m(G^n_m)(\|\xi - \xi'\|\|\eta\| + \|\xi'\|\|\eta - \eta'\|) \\ &\leq \varepsilon'\|\eta\| + (\|\xi\| + \varepsilon')\varepsilon' \leq \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof. □

3.4 The Peter-Weyl theorem I.

Suppose $G \rightrightarrows M$ is a continuous groupoid endowed with a Haar system $\{\lambda_m\}_{m\in M}$, which decomposes using a continuous family of measure $\{\lambda^n_m\}_{(n,m)\in R_G}$ as in Section 3.3. Let $\mathcal{E}(G) \subset \Delta^2(G)$ denote the $C_0(R_G)$-submodule spanned by the matrix coefficients (cf. Section 3.3) of all finite-dimensional representations of $G \rightrightarrows M$.

A generalization of the Peter-Weyl theorem as we are going to prove (cf. Theorem 3.26 and Theorem 3.33) appears not to be true for all continuous groupoids. Therefore, we introduce an extra condition:

Definition 3.21 For a continuous groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ the restriction map

$$\mathrm{Res}_m : \mathrm{Rep}(G) \to \mathrm{Rep}(G^m_m)$$

is dominant if for every $m \in M$ and every continuous unitary representation (π, V) of G^m_m there exists a continuous unitary representation $(\pi', \mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ of G such that (π, V) is isomorphic to a subrepresentation of $(\pi'|_{G^m_m}, \mathcal{H}_m)$.

Example 3.22 Suppose H is a group and $P \to M$ a principal H-bundle. Since $(P\times_H P)^m_m \simeq H$ and $P\times_H P \rightrightarrows M$ are Morita equivalent, $\mathrm{Res}_m : \mathrm{Rep}(P\times_H P) \to \mathrm{Rep}((P\times_H P)^m_m)$ is dominant for all $m \in M$.

Example 3.23 Suppose H is a compact connected Lie group that acts on manifold M. Consider the action groupoid $G := H \ltimes M \rightrightarrows M$.

Proposition 3.24 *The restriction map* $\mathrm{Res}_m : \mathrm{Rep}(H\ltimes M) \to \mathrm{Rep}((H\ltimes M)^m_m)$ *is dominant for all* $m \in M$*.*

Proof. First we note that from every representation $(\pi, V) \in \mathrm{Rep}(H)$ we can construct a representation $\tilde{\pi} : H \ltimes M \to U(M \times V)$ of $H \ltimes M \rightrightarrows M$ on $M \times V \to M$ by $\tilde{\pi}(h, m) : (m, v) \mapsto (h \cdot m, \pi(h)v)$. Note that the isotropy groups of $H \ltimes M \rightrightarrows M$ coincide with the isotropy groups of the action. These are subgroups of H, hence the question is whether every representation of a subgroup of H occurs as the subrepresentation of the restiction of a representation of H.

Suppose K is a compact Lie subgroup of H. Fix a maximal tori $T_K \subset K$ and $T_H \subset H$ such that $T_K \subset T_H$, with Lie algebras $\mathfrak{t}_K$ and $\mathfrak{t}_H$. Note that $T_K \simeq \mathfrak{t}_K/\Lambda_K$ and $T_H \simeq \mathfrak{t}_H/\Lambda_H$ for lattices $\Lambda_K \subset \mathfrak{t}_K$ and $\Lambda_H \subset \mathfrak{t}_H$. There is an injective linear map $M : \mathfrak{t}_K \to \mathfrak{t}_H$ that induces the inclusion $\mathfrak{t}_K/\Lambda_K \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{t}_H/\Lambda_H$. Let P_K denote the integral weight lattice of T_K and P_H the integral weight lattice of T_H. Hence $q := M^T : \mathfrak{t}^*_H \to \mathfrak{t}^*_K$ is surjective map, mapping

P_H onto P_K. Hence restriction of representations $\mathrm{Rep}(T_H) \to \mathrm{Rep}(T_K)$ is surjective too, since for tori irreducible representations correspond to integral weights.

The following argument is valid if one fixes positive root systems R_K^+, R_H^+ and hence fundamental Weyl chambers C_K^+, C_H^+ in a way specified in [7]. Suppose (π_λ, V) is a an irreducible representation of K corresponding to the dominant weight $\lambda \in P_K \cap C_K^+$. One can choose any integral weight $\Lambda \in q^{-1}(\lambda) \cap P_H \cap C_H^+$; this set is non-empty, since q is surjective and the positive root systems have been fixed appropriately. Let π_Λ denote the irreducible representation of H associated to Λ. Then the multiplicity of π_λ in $\pi_\Lambda|_K$ is a positive integer(not necessarily 1), as follows from the Multiplicity Formula (3.5) in [7]. This finishes the proof. $\square$

Example 3.25 A simple, but non-Hausdorff example of a proper groupoid which has a non-dominant restriction map is defined as follows. Consider $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}$ and identify $(x, 0)$ with $(x, 1)$ for all $x \neq 0$. Endow the obtained family of groups $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})/\sim \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}$, with the quotient topology. The non-trivial irreducible representation of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is not in the image of $\mathrm{Res}_0 : \mathrm{Rep}(G) :\to \mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.

We now prove a generalization of the Peter-Weyl theorem for groupoids. Consider the continuous field of Hilbert spaces $(\hat{L}^2(G), \Delta^2(G))$ associated to a groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{E}(G)}$ denote the closure of $\mathcal{E}(G)$ to a Hilbert $C_0(R_G)$-module.

Theorem 3.26 (Peter-Weyl for groupoids I) *If $G \rightrightarrows M$ is a proper groupoid, M/G is compact and Res_m is dominant for all $m \in M$, then*

$$\overline{\mathcal{E}(G)} = \Delta^2(G).$$

Proof. Note that G_m^m is compact so Peter-Weyl for compact groups applies. Using the dominance property

$$\overline{\{\Theta(m,m) | \Theta \in \mathcal{E}(G)\}} = L^2(G_m^m, \lambda_m^m),$$

since $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta, \pi) < (\mathcal{H}', \Delta', \pi')$, implies $\langle \xi, \pi' \eta \rangle = \langle \xi, \pi \eta \rangle$ for $\xi, \eta \in \Delta$.

Note that $l_g^* : L^2(G_m^m, \lambda_m^m) \to L^2(G_m^n, \lambda_m^n)$ is an isometry for a chosen $g \in G_n^m$. Thus $\overline{\{l_g^*(\Theta(m,m)) | \Theta \in \mathcal{E}(G)\}} = L^2(G_m^n)$. But, for all $h \in G_m^n$ and every continuous unitary finite-dimensional representation $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta, \pi)$

$$\begin{aligned} l_g^*(\xi, \pi\eta)(h) &= (\xi(t(g)), \pi(gh)\eta(s(h)))_{\mathcal{H}^\pi_{t(g)}} \\ &= \textstyle\sum_{k=1}^{\dim(\mathcal{H}_n)} (\xi(m), \pi(g) e_k(n))_{E_n} (e_k(n), \pi(h)\eta(m))_{E_m}, \end{aligned}$$

where $e_1, \ldots, e_{\dim(\mathcal{H}_n)}$ are sections which form a basis of $\mathcal{H}$ at n. Thus $l_g^*(\xi, \pi\eta)$ is a linear combination of matrix coefficients $(e_k, \pi\eta)$ restricted to G_m^n, which implies $\overline{\{\Theta(n,m) | \Theta \in \mathcal{E}(G)\}} = L^2(G_m^n)$.

Let $f \in \Delta^2(G)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given, then there exists a section $\tilde{f} \in \Delta^2(G)$ with compact support K such that $\|f - \tilde{f}\| < \varepsilon/2$, where the norm is the one associated to the $C_0(M)$-valued inner product. Moreover, for all $(m, n) \in R$ there are representations $(\mathcal{H}_{m,n}, \Delta_{m,n}, \pi_{m,n})$ and sections $u_{m,n}, v_{m,n} \in \Delta_{m,n}$, such that

$$\|\tilde{f} - (u_{m,n}, \pi_{m,n} v_{m,n})\|_{L^2(G_n^m)} < \varepsilon/2.$$

Since $\pi_{m,n}, u_{m,n}$ and $v_{m,n}$ are continuous we can find an open neighborhood $S_{m,n} \subset R$, such that still

$$\|\tilde{f} - (u_{m,n}, \pi_{m,n} v_{m,n})\|_{\hat{L}^2(G)|_{S_{m,n}}} < \varepsilon/2,$$

for all $(m, n) \in R$. These $S_{m,n}$ cover K, thus there is a finite subcover, which we denote by $\{S_i\}_{i \in I}$ to reduce the indices. Denote the corresponding representations by π_i and sections by u_i and v_i for $i \in I$. Let $\{\lambda_i\}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to $\{S_i\}$. Define $\tilde{u}_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i} u_i$ and $\tilde{v}_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i} v_i$, then

$$g = \sum_{i \in I} (\tilde{u_i}, \pi_i \tilde{v_i})$$

is a finite sum of matrix coefficients and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - g\| &\leq \|f - \tilde{f}\| + \|\tilde{f} - g\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon/2 + \sup_{(m,n) \in R} \|\tilde{f} - \textstyle\sum_{i \in I} (\tilde{u_i}, \pi_i \tilde{v_i})\|_{L^2(G_n^m)} \\ &= \varepsilon/2 + \sup_{(m,n) \in R} \| \textstyle\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i \tilde{f} - \sum_{i \in I} (\sqrt{\lambda_i} u_i, \pi_i \sqrt{\lambda_i} v_i)\|_{L^2(G_n^m)} \\ &\leq \varepsilon/2 + \textstyle\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i \sup_{(m,n) \in R} \|\tilde{f} - \sum_{i \in I} (u_i, \pi_i v_i)\|_{L^2(G_n^m)} \\ &\leq \varepsilon/2 + \textstyle\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof. □

Example 3.27 For a space M, $\overline{\mathcal{E}(M \rightrightarrows M)} = C_0(M)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{E}(M \times M \rightrightarrows M)} = C_0(M \times M)$ as Theorem 3.26 asserts.

Example 3.28 If H is a compact group and $P \to M$ an H-principal bundle. Then, for the bundle of groups $P \times_H H \to M$ one finds (cf. Example 3.9),

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{E}(P \times_H H \rightrightarrows M)} &\simeq \Gamma_0(P \times_H \overline{\mathcal{E}(H)}) \\ &\simeq \Gamma_0(P \times_H L^2(H)) \\ &\simeq \Delta^2(P \times_H H), \end{aligned}$$

where in the second line we used the Peter-Weyl theorem for the group H.

3.5 The Peter-Weyl theorem II.

In this section we shall try to find a decomposition analogous to the case of compact groups H, where one has $L^2(H) \simeq \bigoplus_{(\pi,V) \in \hat{H}} \bar{V} \otimes V$ equivariantly. There is a seemingly relevant proposition that asserts that

Proposition 3.29 *([21], Proposition 5.25) Any locally trivial representation* $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta, \pi)$ *of a proper groupoid* $G \rightrightarrows M$ *is a direct summand of the regular representation, after stabilizing, i.e.* $\mathcal{H} \subset \hat{L}^2_s(G) \otimes \mathbb{H}$*, G-equivariantly, where* $\mathbb{H}$ *denotes a standard separable Hilbert space, say* $l^2(\mathbb{N})$*.*

Example 3.30 The Serre-Swan theorem for vector bundles is a nice example of this. Consider the groupoid $M \rightrightarrows M$ for a space M. Locally trivial representations of this groupoid are vector bundles. The theorem states that any vector bundle is a direct summand of $\hat{L}^2(M) \otimes \mathbb{H} \simeq M \times \mathbb{H}$. The Serre-Swan Theorem is actually somewhat stronger, since instead of $\mathbb{H}$ one could put a finite-dimensional vector space $\mathbb{C}^N$ for large enough $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

In general the direct summands will not add up to the whole of $\hat{L}^2_s(G) \otimes \mathbb{H}$, as one sees in the following Example 3.31. Moreover, stabilization is not something that occurs in the case of compact groups (which we want to generalize). Therefore, we have to choose a different approach.

The first problem is which $\hat{L}^2$ continuous field related to the groupoid one has to use.

Example 3.31 Consider the pair groupoid $M \times M \rightrightarrows M$ for a space M. It has just one irreducible and indecomposable representation, namely the trivial one $M \times \mathbb{C} \to M$. Suppose μ is a Borel measure on M. Note that $\hat{L}^2_s(M \times M) \simeq L^2(M) \times M$ is hence in general to big. The field $\hat{L}^2(M \times M)$ has base space $M \times M$ and is not well suited as well, since representations are on continuous fields over M. Recall that the isotropy groupoid of $G \rightrightarrows M$ is denoted by $I(G) \rightrightarrows M$. It is a continuous family of groups in the subspace topology. Now consider the continuous field of Hilbert spaces $\hat{L}^2(I(M \times M)) \simeq \mathbb{C} \times M$. This seems to be a good candidate.

The continuous field of Hilbert spaces $(\hat{L^2}(I(G)), \Delta^2(I(G)))$ is the restriction of $(\hat{L^2}(G), \Delta^2(G))$ over $R_G \subset M \times M$ to the diagonal. It carries a continuous unitary representation

$$\pi_{LR}(g)f(h) := f(g^{-1}hg),$$

where $g \in G^n_m$, $h \in G^n_n$ and $f \in L^2(G^m_m)$. Remember that this field may not exist, since suitable measures λ^m_m may not exist.

Lemma 3.32 *For any square-integrable continuous unitary representation* $(\mathcal{H}^\pi, \Delta^\pi, \pi)$ *there is an equivariant map*

$$\Psi_\pi : (\bar{\mathcal{H}^\pi} \otimes \mathcal{H}^\pi, \Delta^\otimes) \to (\hat{L}^2(I(G)), \Delta^2(I(G))),$$

given by

$$h_2 \otimes h_1 \mapsto (g \mapsto (h_2, \pi(g)h_1)_{\mathcal{H}_{t(g)}}).$$

This map is a slight adaptation of the one introduced for the definition of square-integrability.

Proof. For equivariance we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi(\pi(g)(h_1 \otimes h_2)) &= \Psi(\pi(g)h_1 \otimes \pi(g)h_2) \\
&= (g' \mapsto (\pi(g)h_1, \pi(g')\pi(g)h_2)) \\
&= (g' \mapsto (h_1, \pi(g^{-1})\pi(g')\pi(g)h_2)) \\
&= (g' \mapsto (h_1, \pi(g^{-1}g'g)h_2)) \\
&= \pi_{LR}(g)(g' \mapsto (h_1, \pi(g')h_2))
\end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof. ☐

Theorem 3.33 (Peter-Weyl for groupoids II) *Suppose G is a proper groupoid and for every $m \in M$*

$$\mathrm{Res}_m : M\text{-}\mathrm{IrRep}(G) \to \mathrm{IrRep}(G^m_m)$$

is bijective. Then

$$\bigoplus_{\pi \in M\text{-}\mathrm{IrRep}(G)} \Psi_\pi : \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} (\bar{\mathcal{H}^\pi}, \bar{\Delta^\pi}) \otimes (\mathcal{H}^\pi, \Delta^\pi) \to (\hat{L}^2(I(G)), \Delta^2(I(G))) \tag{2}$$

is an isomorphism of representations.

Proof. The above lemma gives a G-equivariant map. Surjectivity of this map follows from Theorem 3.26. Injectivity follows from Corollary 3.15. ☐

Example 3.34 Consider a principal H-bundle $P \to M$ for a compact group H and the associated gauge groupoid $G := P \times_H P \rightrightarrows M$. By Morita equivalence (cf. Section 3.6) there is a bijection between unitary irreps (V, π) of H and unitary indecomposable, irreducible representations $P \times_H V \to M$ of G. Therefore, Res_m is bijective. Hence, by Theorem 3.33, one has the decomposition of formula 2. This is no surprise, since $I(P \times_H P) \simeq P \times_H H$, where H acts on H by conjugation, hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{L}^2(I(P \times_H P) &\simeq P \times_H L^2(H) \\
&\simeq P \times_H \bigoplus_{(\pi,V)\in\hat{H}} \bar{V^{\pi}} \otimes V^{\pi} \\
&\simeq \bigoplus_{(\pi,V^{\pi})\in\hat{H}} (P \times_H \bar{V^{\pi}}) \otimes (P \times_H V^{\pi}) \\
&\simeq \bigoplus_{(\pi,\mathcal{H}^{\pi})\in M\text{-}\,\mathrm{IrRep}(P\times_H P)} \bar{\mathcal{H}^{\pi}} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\pi}.
\end{aligned}$$

This is exactly the statement of Theorem 3.33.

Remark 3.35 Only for a few (types of) groupoids the map $\mathrm{Res}_m : M\text{-}\,\mathrm{IrRep}(G) \to \mathrm{IrRep}(G_m^m)$ is bijective for all $m \in M$. If the map is just surjective, then one could try to find a subset $\mathrm{PW}(G)$ of $M\text{-}\,\mathrm{IrRep}(G)$ that does map bijectively to $\mathrm{IrRep}(G_m^m)$ for every $m \in M$. We call such a set a **PW-set**. Then, Theorem 3.33 holds with M-$\mathrm{IrRep}(G)$ replaced by $\mathrm{PW}(G)$.

Example 3.36 If H is a compact group and $P \to M$ a principle H-bundle, then $G := P \times_H H \to M$ is a bundle of groups and

$$\mathrm{PW}(G) := \{P \times_H V \mid (\pi, V) \in \mathrm{IrRep}(H)\}$$

is a PW-set (cf. Example 3.9). Twisting the representations in $\mathrm{PW}(G)$ with a fixed non-trivial line bundle over M gives another PW-set, hence these sets are not unique.

3.6 Morita equivalence.

It is well-known that a Morita equivalence of groupoids induces an equivalence of the categories of continuous represenations *on vector bundles* of these groupoids. In this section we generalize this to representations on continuous fields of Hilbert spaces.

We begin by brushing up on generalized morphisms and Morita equivalences of continuous groupoids (cf. [10, 15, 8, 13, 12]). Suppose $G \rightrightarrows G_0$ is a continuous groupoid. Suppose G acts continuously from the left on a map $J : N \to G_0$. The action is called **left principal** if the map

$$(g, n) \mapsto (g \cdot n, n)$$

is a homeomorphism

$$G \times_{G_0} N \to N \times_{G\backslash N} N,$$

where $G\backslash N$ is endowed with quotient topology. Suppose H is another continuous groupoid over H_0. A space N is a $G - H$**-bibundle** if it carries a left G action and a right H action which commute, i.e. $(g \cdot m) \cdot h = g \cdot (m \cdot h)$, $J_H(g \cdot m) = J_H(m)$ and $J_G(m \cdot h) = J_G(m)$. A **morphism of** $G - H$**-bibundles** N, N' is a $G - H$-equivariant continuous map $N \to N'$. An isomorphism class of a left principal $G - H$-bibundle can be seen as an arrow $G \to H$ in a category of groupoids. The arrows are called **Hilsum-Skandalis maps** or **generalized morphisms**. The unit morphism $U(G)$ at G is given by the class of G itself as a $G - G$-bibundle, with left and right multiplication as actions. One can show that a morphism given by a class of bibundles is an isomorphism if the representing bundles have principal left and right actions. In that case, one easily sees that $N/H \simeq G_0$ and $G\backslash N \simeq H_0$. Groupoids which are isomorphic in this category are called **Morita equivalent**. One can prove that a $G-H$ bibundle N represents a Morita equivalence if it is left and right principal and $N/H \simeq G_0$ and $G\backslash N \simeq H_0$.

For a continuous groupoid $G \rightrightarrows G_0$, denote the category of continuous unitary representations of $G \rightrightarrows G_0$ on continuous fields of Hilbert spaces by **Rep**(G). Before we continue we need a technical tool. Suppose G is a continuous groupoid endowed with a Haar system $\{\lambda_m\}_{m\in M}$.

Definition 3.37 A left action of G on a map $J : N \to G_0$ has **left Dirac sequences** if for each $n \in N$ there exists a sequence of functions $(\delta^n_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ on N such that

i) $\delta^n_k \geq 0$ on $J^{-1}(J(n))$,

ii) $\int_{g\in G_{J(n)}} \delta^n_k(g\cdot n)\lambda_n(dg) = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

iii) For every open neighborhood $U \subset G^m$ of 1_m and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $k > N$

$$\int_{g\in U^c} \delta^n_k(g\cdot n)\lambda^n(dg) < \varepsilon.$$

There is an analogous notion of right Dirac sequences for right actions of groupoids.

Theorem 3.38 *Suppose G and H are continuous groupoids endowed with a right Haar system. If G and H are Morita equivalent and there exists a Morita G-H-bibundle that admits left and right Dirac sequences, then the representation categories **Rep**(G) and **Rep**(H) are equivalent.*

Proof. Let $[N] : G \to H$ be a Hilsum-Skandalis map. It gives a map $\mathrm{Rep}(G) \to \mathrm{Rep}(H)$ on the objects as follows. Let $\pi : G \to U(\mathcal{H})$ be a representation of G on a continuous field $(\{\mathcal{H}_m\}_{m\in M}, \Delta_{\mathcal{H}})$ of Hilbert spaces. Consider the pullback continuous field of Hilbert spaces $J^*_G(\{\mathcal{H}_m\}_{m\in M}, \Delta_{\mathcal{H}})$ over N. Note that the projection $J^*_G(\mathcal{H}) \to N$ is equivariant. Define

$$(J^*_G\Delta_{\mathcal{H}})_G := \{\xi \in \Gamma_0(G\backslash(J^*_G\mathcal{H}))\},$$

where $G\backslash(J^*_G\mathcal{H})$ is endowed with the quotient topology.

Lemma 3.39 *The pair $(G\backslash(J^*_G\{\mathcal{H}_m\}_{m\in M}), (J^*_G\Delta_{\mathcal{H}})_G)$ is a continuous field of Hilbert spaces over $G\backslash N \simeq H_0$.*

Proof. Write $\alpha : G \times_M N \to N \times_{G\backslash N} N$ for the homeomorphism $(g, n) \mapsto (g\cdot n, n)$. In particular, there is homeomorphism $\alpha_n : G_{J_G(n)} \to Gn$. for every $n \in N$. Suppose $[h_1, n], [h_2, n] \in (G\backslash(J^*\mathcal{H}))_{Gn}$. As a result of the previous remark we can indeed find unique representatives $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_n$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} [h_1, n] + [h_2, n] &:= [h_1 + h_2, n]; \\ \lambda[h_1, n] &:= [\lambda h_1, n]; \\ \langle [h_1, n], [h_2, n]\rangle_{(G\backslash(J^*\mathcal{H}))_{Gn}} &:= \langle h_1, h_2\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $[h, g\cdot n] = [\pi(g)h, n]$. Hence the inner product is well-defined, since π is unitary. Therefore, every fiber $(G\backslash(J^*\mathcal{H}))_{Gn}$ is a Hilbert space. In fact, one easily sees $(G\backslash(J^*\mathcal{H}))_{Gn} \simeq \mathcal{H}_n$.

What is left to prove is the fact that for every $[h,n] \in (G\backslash(J^*\mathcal{H}))_{Gn}$ there is a $\xi \in (J^*\Delta_{\mathcal{H}})_G$, such that $\xi([h,n]) = Gh$. This follows from the fact that every $h \in J^*\mathcal{H}$ can be approximated by a sections $\{\xi'_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $(J^*\Delta_{\mathcal{H}})_G$ which are the image of *equivariant* sections $\{\xi_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $C(N, J^*\mathcal{H})$ under the projection $J^*\mathcal{H} \to G\backslash J^*\mathcal{H}$.

The construction of the ξ_k is as follows. Suppose $h \in \mathcal{H}_n$, then there is an $\eta \in J^*\Delta$ such that $\eta(n) = h$. Moreover, we may suppose that the support of η is compact, by multiplying with a function on N with compact support, which is 1 at n. Let $(\delta^n_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a Dirac sequence for N at n. Define the averaged section by

$$\xi_k(n') := \int_{g\in G^{J(n')}} \delta^n_k(g^{-1}\cdot n')\pi(g)\eta(g^{-1}n')\lambda^{J(n)}(dg).$$

This integral exists, since the support of η is compact and the action of G on N principal. It depends continuously on n', since the integrand is compactly supported and continuous. Indeed, π is strongly continuous, which implies that it is weakly continuous. By the properties of the Haar system this (Bochner) integral depends continuously on n'. Moreover, it is equivariant, as follows from the invariance of the Haar system. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Let $U \subset G^{J(n)}$ be a neighborhood of $1_{J(n)}$, such that for all $g \in U$

$$\|\pi(g)\eta(g^{-1}n) - \eta(n)\| < \varepsilon.$$

Then, there exists a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\int_{U^c} \delta^n_k(g\cdot n)\lambda^{J(n)}(dg) < \varepsilon.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\xi_k(n) - h\| &= \|\int_{g\in G^{J(n)}} \delta^n_k(g^{-1}n)\pi(g)\eta(g^{-1}n)\lambda^{J(n)}(dg) - h\|_{(J^*\mathcal{H})_n} \\
&= \|\int_{g\in G^{J(n)}} \delta^n_k(g)(\pi(g)\eta(g^{-1}n) - \eta(n))\lambda^{J(n)}(dg)\|_{(J^*\mathcal{H})_n} \\
&\leq \int_{g\in G^{J(n)}} \delta^n_k(g)\|\pi(g)\eta(g^{-1}n) - \eta(n)\|_{(J^*\mathcal{H})_n}\lambda^{J(n)}(dg) \\
&= \int_{g\in U} \delta^n_k(g)\|\pi(g)\eta(g^{-1}n) - \eta(n)\|_{(J^*\mathcal{H})_n}\lambda^{J(n)}(dg) \\
&\quad + \int_{g\in U^c} \delta^n_k(g)\|\pi(g)\eta(g^{-1}n) - \eta(n)\|_{(J^*\mathcal{H})_n}\lambda^{J(n)}(dg) \\
&\leq \int_{g\in U} \delta^n_k(g)\lambda^{J(n)}(dg)\varepsilon \\
&\quad + \varepsilon\cdot \max_{g\in\alpha_n^{-1}(\mathrm{supp}(\eta))} \|\pi(g)\eta(g^{-1}n) - \eta(n)\| \\
&= \varepsilon(1+K),
\end{aligned}$$

where $K = \max_{g\in\alpha_n^{-1}(\mathrm{supp}(\eta))} \|\pi(g)\eta(g^{-1}n) - \eta(n)\|$ is constant. □

Define a representation π' of H on the field by

$$\pi'(k)[h,n] := [h, n\cdot k],$$

where $J_H(n) = t(k)$ (note that $J_H(n) = J_H(g\cdot n)$ for all $g \in G_{J(n)}$). Obviously, π' is unitary. Continuity of π' easily follows from continuity of the right H action on N. We formally denote this representation by $\mathcal{H} \otimes_G N$. Define the map $\Theta_N : \mathrm{Rep}(G) \to \mathrm{Rep}(H)$ by

$$[\pi, \mathcal{H}, \Delta] \mapsto [\pi', \mathcal{H} \otimes_G N, (J_N^* \Delta_{\mathcal{H}})_G].$$

Let $[N^{-1}] : H \to G$ be the inverse of $[N] : G \to H$, i.e. $N \otimes_K N^{-1} \simeq G$, where $U(G)$ is G itself seen as the unit $G - G$ bundle, and $N^{-1} \otimes_G N \simeq U(K)$. One easily shows that

$$\Theta_{N^{-1}} : [\pi, \mathcal{H}, \Delta] \mapsto [\pi', \mathcal{H} \otimes_G N^{-1}, (J_{N^{-1}}^* \Delta_{\mathcal{H}})_G]$$

is the inverse of Θ_N.

It is obvious how to extend these maps to arrows. □

3.7 Representation rings and K-theory of a groupoid

Suppose $G \rightrightarrows M$ is a continuous groupoid and M/G is compact.

Definition 3.40 The set of isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional continuous unitary representations of G, endowed with $\oplus$ and $\otimes$ form a unital semi-ring. Applying the Grothendieck construction one obtains the **representation ring of** G, denoted by $\mathcal{R}_f(G)$. Denote the subring of locally trivial representations (projective Hilbert $C_0(M)$-modules) by $\mathcal{R}(G)$.

Example 3.41 Suppose M is a compact space. Consider the groupoid $M \rightrightarrows M$. By definition one has $K_0(M) = \mathcal{R}(M)$.

Example 3.42 Suppose H is a compact group. Consider the groupoid $G := H \rightrightarrows pt$. Then $\mathcal{R}_f(G) = \mathcal{R}(G)$ equals the usual represenation ring $\mathcal{R}(H)$ of H.

Example 3.43 Suppose M is a locally compact space. Then for the pair groupoid $M \times M \rightrightarrows M$ one sees that $\mathcal{R}(M \times M) = \mathcal{R}_f(M \times M) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ generated by the trivial representation.

Example 3.44 One easily sees that Morita equivalent groupoids have isomorphic representation rings (as a corrolary of Theorem 3.38). Hence, for a group H and a principal H-bundle $P \to M$ one has

$$\mathcal{R}_f(P \times_H P) \simeq \mathcal{R}(P \times_H P) \simeq \mathcal{R}(H) \simeq \mathcal{R}_f(H),$$

which generalizes the previous example.

Suppose $s, t : G \to M$ are open maps. Recall that the orbit relation of a groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ is denoted by $R_G := t \times s(G)$.

Lemma 3.45 *The representation ring $\mathcal{R}_f(G)$ is a $\mathcal{R}_f(R_G)$-module via the inclusion $\mathcal{R}_f(R_G) \to \mathcal{R}_f(G)$ given by*

$$\pi_G(g) := \pi_{R_G}(t(g), s(g)).$$

Analogously, $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is a $\mathcal{R}(R_G)$-module.

Example 3.46 Suppose $s : G \to M$ is a continuous family of groups. Then $\mathcal{R}_f(G)$ is a $\mathcal{R}_f(M)$-module and $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is a $K_0(M)$-module (cf. Remark 2.21).

For proper groupoids the representation ring relates as follows to the K-theory of the reduced C^*-algebra of the groupoid. This was proved in [21] in more general setting. We give a summary of their proof.

Theorem 3.47 *([21]) If $G \rightrightarrows M$ is proper, M/G compact and $C^*_r(G) \otimes \mathbb{H}$ has an approximate unit consisting of projections, then $K_0(C^*_r(G)) \simeq \mathcal{R}(G)$.*

Proof. (sketch) One first shows, that $C^*_r(G)$ equals the C^*-algebra of compact operators on $\hat{L}^2_s(G) \otimes \mathbb{H}$ made G-equivariant by an averaging construction. If $C^*_r(G) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{H})$ has an approximate unit consisting of projections, then $K_0(C^*_r(G))$ is obtained from the semi-ring generated by projections in $C^*_r(G) \otimes \mathbb{H}$, i.e. projections of $\hat{L}^2_s(G) \otimes \mathbb{H}$. But these correspond precisely to locally trivial unitary representations of G according to Proposition 3.29 and the Serre-Swan theorem. □

Example 3.48 Suppose M is a compact space. Then $C^*(M \rightrightarrows M) = C_0(M)$, and $K_0(C_0(M)) = K^0(M) = \mathcal{R}(M \rightrightarrows M)$. Also, for the pair groupoid one can show $C^*_r(M \times M \rightrightarrows M) \simeq \mathcal{K}(L^2(M))$ (cf. [11]) and hence $K_0(C^*_r(M \times M \rightrightarrows M)) \simeq K_0(\mathcal{K}(L^2(M))) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathcal{R}(M \times M \rightrightarrows M)$.

Example 3.49 For a compact group H it is well-known that $C^*_r(H) \simeq \hat{\bigoplus}_{(\pi,V)\in\hat{H}} M_{\dim(V)}(\mathbb{C})$ (with closure in the right norm, cf. [11]) and hence

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(C^*_r(H)) &\simeq K_0(\hat{\bigoplus}_{(\pi,V)\in\hat{H}} M_{\dim(V)}(\mathbb{C})) \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_{(\pi,V)\in\hat{H}} K_0(M_{\dim(V)}(\mathbb{C})) \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_{(\pi,V)\in\hat{H}} \mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathcal{R}(H). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.47 generalizes this statement to proper groupoids (satisfying the mentioned condition).

For a principal H-bundle $P \to M$ one can prove $C^*_r(P \times_H P \rightrightarrows M) \simeq C^*_r(H) \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(M))$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(C^*_r(P \times_H P \rightrightarrows M)) &\simeq K_0(C^*_r(H) \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(M))) \\ &\simeq K_0(C^*_r(H)) \\ &\simeq \mathcal{R}(H) \simeq \mathcal{R}(P \times_H P \rightrightarrows M), \end{aligned}$$

by stablity of K-theory.

4 The groupoid convolution Banach *-category

In [18] Reneault established a bijective correspondence between representations of groupoids on measurable fields of Hilbert spaces and the non-degenerate bounded representations of the Banach *-algebra $L^1(G)$, generalizing the analogous statement for groups. In this section we shall prove a different generalization suitable for continuous representations of groupoids. We give a bijective correspondence between continuous representations of groupoids on continuous fields of Hilbert spaces and continuous representations on continuous fields of Hibert spaces of the Banach *-category $\hat{L}^1(G)$.

4.1 Fell bundles over groupoids and continuous C^*-categories.

First we need some terminology. We discuss the relation between continuous Fell bundles over groupoids (cf. [26, 14, 9]) and Banach $*$-categories and C^*-categories (cf. [5]).

A **(lower semi-)continuous Fell bundle over a groupoid** G is a (lower semi-)continuous field of Banach spaces $(\{\mathcal{B}_g\}_{g\in G}, \Delta)$ over G endowed with an associative bilinear product

$$\mathcal{B}_g \times \mathcal{B}_h \to \mathcal{B}_{gh}, (P, Q) \mapsto PQ$$

whenever $(g, h) \in G^{(2)}$ and an anti-linear involution

$$\mathcal{B}_g \to \mathcal{B}_{g^{-1}}, P \mapsto P^*$$

satisfying the following conditions for all $(g, h) \in G^{(2)}$ and $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{B}_g \times \mathcal{B}_h$

(i) $\|PQ\| \leq \|P\|\|Q\|$;

(ii) $\|P^*P\| = \|P\|^2$;

(iii) $(PQ)^* = Q^*P^*$;

(iv) P^*P is a positive element of $\mathcal{B}_{1_{s(g)}}$;

(v) the image of the multiplication $\mathcal{B}_g \times \mathcal{B}_h \to \mathcal{B}_{gh}, (P, Q) \mapsto PQ$ is dense;

(vi) multiplication $m^*\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ and involution $\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ are continuous maps of fields of Banach spaces.

where $\mathcal{B}$ denotes the total space of $(\mathcal{B}_{m\in M}, \Delta)$ endowed with the topology given by Δ and $m^*\mathcal{B}$ the pullback of the field $\mathcal{B}$ over G along $m : G^{(2)} \to G$.

Example 4.1 Our main example will be the following. Suppose $G \rightrightarrows M$ is a continuous groupoid with open $s, t : G \to M$ and $R_G := (t \times s)(G)$. Let $(\{\mathcal{H}_m\}_{m\in M}, \Delta_{\mathcal{H}})$ be a continuous field of Hilbert spaces over M. Consider the lower semi-continuous field of Banach spaces over R_G whose fiber at (n, m) is given by the bounded linear operators $\mathcal{H}_m \to \mathcal{H}_n$, i.e. $\mathcal{B}_{(n,m)} := \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n, \mathcal{H}_m)$. This field was already introduced in Section 2.2.

Lemma 4.2 *The lower semi-continuous field of Banach spaces* $(\{\mathcal{B}_{(n,m)}\}_{(n,m)\in R_G}, \Delta_{\mathcal{B}})$ *is a lower semi-continuous Fell bundle over* R_G.

Proof. The continuity of the composition was proven in the proof of Lemma 2.12. Note that $\pi(g) : \mathcal{H}_{s(g)} \to \mathcal{H}_{t(g)}$ is an isomorphism of Hilbert spaces. Hence, the properties (i), (ii), (iii),(iv) and (v) follow from the fact that these are true for $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, where $\mathcal{H} \simeq \mathcal{H}_{t(g)} \simeq \mathcal{H}_{s(g)}$. □

We sometimes write $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ for this lower semi-continuous Fell bundle over R_G.

A (lower semi-)continuous Fell bundle A over a continuous equivalence relation $R \subset M \times M$ on M is a **(lower semi-)continuous C^*-category over M**. Leaving out the C^*-norm equality (ii) we speak of a **(lower semi-)continuous Banach $*$-category**. Note that it is indeed a category with well-defined source and target maps $s, t : A \to M$.

Example 4.3 Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a locally compact groupoid endowed with a Haar system $\{\lambda^m\}_{m\in M}$. Suppose there exist a continuous families measures $\{\lambda^n_m\}_{(n,m)\in R_G}$ on G and $\{\mu_m\}_{m\in M}$ on M such that

$$\lambda^n = \int_{m\in s(G^n)} \lambda^n_m \mu_n(dm).$$

Consider the continuous field of Banach spaces $(\hat{L}^1(G), \Delta^1(G)) := (\hat{L}^1_{t\times s}(G), \Delta^1_{t\times s}(G))$, cf. Example 1.19.

Lemma 4.4 *$(L^1(G), \Delta^1(G))$ is a continuous Banach $*$-category over M, where the multiplication map $\hat{L}^1(G)^{(2)} \to \hat{L}^1(G)$ is the continuous extension of*

$$f * f'(g) := \int_{h \in G_k^m} f(gh^{-1}) f'(h) \lambda_k^m(dh),$$

for all $f \in C_c(G_m^n)$ and $f' \in C_c(G_k^m)$.

Proof. (sketch) One, indeed easily checks that $\|f * g\|(n,k) < \|f\|(n,m)\|g\|(m,k)$, so this extension is well-defined. $\square$

Definition 4.5 A **strongly continuous representation $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta, L)$ of a continuous Banach $*$-category** A over a space M on a continuous field of Hilbert spaces $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ over M is a continuous $*$-homomorphism

$$L : A \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}),$$

such that $a \mapsto L(a)\xi(s(a))$ is continuous $A \to \mathcal{H}$ for every $\xi \in \Delta$.

One has analogous definitions for weakly continuous representations and representations continuous in the operator norm.

Definition 4.6 A representation $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta, L)$ of a Banach $*$-category A is non-degenerate if $\overline{L(A)\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H}$.

4.2 Representations of G versus representations of $\hat{L}^1(G)$.

In this section we again need Dirac sequences but in a different way. Suppose $G \rightrightarrows M$ allows Dirac sequences $\{(\delta_k^g)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\}_{g \in G}$ for the Haar system $\{\lambda_m^n\}_{(n,m) \in R_G}$ in the sense that

i) $\delta_k^g \geq 0$ on $G_{s(g)}^{t(g)}$,

ii) $\int_{g' \in G_m^n} \delta_k^g(g') \lambda_{s(g)}^{t(g)}(dg') = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

iii) For every open neighborhood $U \subset G_m^n$ of g and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $k > N$

$$\int_{g \in U^c} \delta_k^g(g') \lambda_m^n(dg') < \varepsilon.$$

Lemma 4.7 *If $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta, \pi)$ is a continuous unitary representation of $G \rightrightarrows M$, then $L_\pi : \hat{L}^1(G) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ given by*

$$f \mapsto \left((n,m) \mapsto \int_{G_m^n} f(g) \pi(g) \lambda_m^n(dg) \right)$$

is a non-degenerate strongly continuous representation of $(\hat{L}^1(G), \Delta^1(G))$ as a continuous Banach $*$-category on the continuous field of Hilbert spaces $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$.

Proof. By the properties of the Bochner integral one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi(f)\|(n,m) &= \| \textstyle\int_{G_m^n} f(g)\pi(g)\lambda_m^n \| \\ &\leq \textstyle\int_{G_m^n} |f(g)| \|\pi(g)\| \lambda_m^n(dg) \\ &= \textstyle\int_{G_m^n} |f(g)| \lambda_m^n(dg) \end{aligned}$$

(Note that therefore, $\|\pi(f)\| = \sup_{(n,m)\in R} \|\pi(f)\|(n,m) \leq \|f\|_{\hat{L}^1(G)}$).

We now prove that L_π is a $*$-homomorphism. Suppose $f \in C_c(G^k_m)$ and $f' \in C_c(G^n_k)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} L_\pi(f * f') &= \int_{g\in G^n_m} (f * f')(g)\pi(g)\lambda^n_m(dg) \\ &= \int_{g\in G^n_m} \int_{h\in G^k_m} f(gh^{-1})f'(h)\lambda^k_m(dh)\pi(g)\lambda^n_m(dg) \\ &= \int_{g\in G^n_k} f(g)\pi(g)\lambda^n_k(dg) \int_{h\in G^k_m} f'(h)\pi(h)\lambda^m_k(dh) \\ &= L_\pi(f)L_\pi(f'), \end{aligned}$$

by invariance of the Haar system.

Suppose $f \in C_c(G^n_m)$ is given. Suppose $F \in C_c(G)$ satisfies $F|_{G^n_m} = f$. Note that

$$\sup_{(n',m')\in R_G} \int_{G^n_m} \|F(g)\pi(g)\xi(s(g))\|\lambda^n_m(dg) \leq \|F\|_{\hat{L}^1(G)} \max_{m\in s(\mathrm{supp}(F))} \|\xi(m)\|.$$

Using this, one easily proves that L_π is strongly continuous.

The representation π_L is non-degenerate, since for any $m \in M$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}_m$

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \|h - L(\delta^{1_m}_k)h\| = \lim_{k\to\infty} \|h - \int_{g\in G^n_m} \delta^{1_m}_k(g)\pi(g)h\lambda^n_m(dg)\| = 0.$$

This finishes the proof. □

For $f \in C_c(G)$, $m \in M$ and $g, g' \in G^m$, we shall use the notation $f^g(g') := (\pi_L(g)f)(g') = f(g^{-1}g')$.

Lemma 4.8 *If* $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta, L)$ *is a strongly continuous non-degenerate representation of* $(\hat{L}^1(G), \Delta^1(G))$*, then*

$$\pi(g)(L(f)h) := L(f^g)h$$

defines a continuous unitary representation of G *on the continuous field of Hilbert spaces* $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$.

Proof. By non-degeneracy of L, the above formula defines π_L on a dense set. It extends to the whole of $\mathcal{H}$, since for all $g \in G$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}_{s(g)}$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi(g)h\| &= \lim_{k\to\infty} \|L(\delta^k_g)h\| \\ &\leq \lim_{k\to\infty} B\|\delta^k_g\|\|h\| \\ &= B\|h\|, \end{aligned}$$

for a constant $B \in \mathbb{R} \geq 0$.

This is well-defined. Indeed, suppose $L(f)h = L(f')h'$ for $f \in L^1(G^n_m)$, $f' \in L^1(G^n_{m'})$, $h \in \mathcal{H}_m$ and $h' \in \mathcal{H}_{m'}$. Let δ^g_k denote the translation of δ^m_k along g. One easily checks that

$$\|\delta^g_k * f - f^g\| \to 0$$

when $k \to \infty$. Then one has for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|L((f')g)h' - L(f^g)h\| &\leq \|L((f')^g)h' - L(\delta^g_k * f')h'\| \\ &\quad \|L(\delta^g_k * f')h' - L(\delta^g_k * f)h\| + \|L(\delta^g_k * f)h' - L(f^g)h\| \\ &\leq B\|(f')^g - \delta^g_k * f'\|\|h'\| + \|L(\delta^g_k)(L(f')h' - L(f)h)\| \\ &\quad +B\|(f)^g - \delta^g_k * f\|\|h\| \end{aligned}$$

The second term is zero and the first and the last term go to zero as $k \to \infty$, hence $L((f^{\prime)}g)h' = L(f^g)h$.

π is a homomorphism. Indeed, for $(g, g') \in G^{(2)}$, $f \in L^1(G_m^{s(k)})$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}_m$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(gg')(L(f)h) \quad &= L(f^{gg'})h \\
&= L((f^{g'})^g)h \\
&= \pi(g)L(f^{g'})h \\
&= \pi(g)\pi(g')(L(f)h).
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the following computation shows that $\pi(g)^* = \pi(g^{-1})$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \pi(g)^* L(f)h, L(f')h' \rangle \quad &= \langle h, L(f)^* \pi(g) L(f')h' \rangle \\
&= \langle h, L(f^*) L((f')^g)h')h' \rangle \\
&= \langle h, L(f^* * (f')^g)h' \rangle \\
&= \left\langle h, L((f^{g^{-1}})^* * f')h' \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle h, L(f^{g^{-1}})^* L(f')h' \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle L(f^{g^{-1}})h, L(f')h' \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \pi(g^{-1})L(f)h, L(f')h' \right\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where the fourth step follows from equivariance of the Haar system and the fact that

$$(f^g)^*(g') = f^*(g'g^{-1}).$$

The continuity of π follows from the fact that for any $F \in C_c(G)$, representing a section of $\hat{L}^1(G) \to R_G$, and any $\xi \in \Delta$, the section $m \mapsto L(F)(m,m)\xi(m)$ is again in Δ and that $g \mapsto F(s(g), s(g))^g$ is continuous, cf. Lemma 2.15. $\square$

Theorem 4.9 *The correspondence $\pi \mapsto L_\pi$ is a bijection between the set of continuous unitary representations of G and the set of strongly continuous representations of $(\hat{L}^1(G), \Delta^1(G))$.*

Proof. The inverse correspondence is given by Lemma 4.8, which we denote by $L \mapsto \pi^L$ (not to be confused with the left regular representation π_L). Given a continuous unitary representation π of G, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi^{(L^\pi)}(g)(L^\pi(f)h) \quad &= L^\pi(f^g)h \\
&= \textstyle\int_{g' \in G_m^n} f(g^{-1}g')\pi(g')h\lambda_m^n(dg') \\
&= \textstyle\int_{g' \in G_m^n} f(g')\pi(g)\pi(g')h\lambda_m^p(dg') \\
&= \pi(g)(L^\pi(f)h).
\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose a non-degenerate strongly continuous representation L of $\hat{L}^1(G)$ is given. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
L^{(\pi^L)}L(f')h \quad &= \textstyle\int_{g \in G_m^n} f(g)\pi^L(g)L(f')h\lambda_m^n(dg) \\
&= \textstyle\int_{g \in G_m^n} f(g)L((f')^g)h\lambda_m^n(dg) \\
&= L(\textstyle\int_{g \in G_m^n} f(g)(f')^g\lambda_m^n(dg))h \\
&= L(f * f')h = L(f)(L(f')h),
\end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof. $\square$

References

[1] M. Amini, *Tannaka-Krein duality for compact groupoids I, II and III*, preprint, ArXiv:math.OA/0308259, math.OA/0308260, ArXiv:math.OA/0308261.

[2] A. Connes, *Sur la théorie non commutative de l'intégration*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **725** (1979), 19-143.

[3] J. Dixmier, *les C*-algebras et leurs representations*, Deuxième édition, Paris, Gauthier-Villars, 1969.

[4] J. Dixmier and A. Douady, *Champs continues d'espaces hilbertiens et de C^*-algèbres*, Bul. de la S.M.F. **19** (1963), 227-284.

[5] S. Doplicher and J. Roberts, *A new duality theory for compact groups*, Invent. Math. 98 (1989), no. 1, 157-218.

[6] S. A. Gaal, *Linear analysis and representation theory*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 198. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973.

[7] G. J. Heckman, *Orbits and multiplicities for compact groups*, Invent. math. **67**, (1982).

[8] M. Hilsum and G. Skandalis, *Morphismes K-orientés d'espaces de feuilles et fonctorialité en théorie de Kasparov (d'après une conjecture de Kasparov)*, Ann. Sci. Ens. **20** (1987), 325-390.

[9] A. Kumjian, *Fell bundles over groupoids*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 126 (1998), no. 4, 1115–1125.

[10] N. P. Landsman, *The Muhly-Renault-Williams theorem for Lie groupoids and its classical counterpart*, Lett. Math. Phys. 54 (2000), no. 1, 43-59.

[11] N. P. Landsman, *Mathematical Topics Between Classical and Quantum Mechanics*, Springer Mathematical Monographs, Springer, New York, 1998.

[12] J. Mrčun, *Stability and Invariants of Hilsum-Skandalis maps*, PhD Thesis, University of Utrecht, 1996.

[13] J. Mrčun and I. Moerdijk, *Introduction to Foliations and Lie Groupoids*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.

[14] P. Muhly, *Bundles over groupoids*, Groupoids in analysis, geometry, and physics (Boulder, CO, 1999), 67-82, Contemp. Math. 282, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 2001.

[15] P. Muhly, J. Renault and D. Williams, *Equivalence and isomorphism for groupoid C^*-algebras*, J. Operator Theory 17 (1987), no. 1, 3-22.

[16] A. Pressley and G. Segal, *Loop groups. Oxford Mathematical Monographs*, Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1986.

[17] D. Pronk, *Groupoid representations for sheaves on orbifolds*, PhD-thesis, University of Utrecht, 1995.

[18] J. Renault, *A groupoid approach to C^*-algebras*, Lecture notes in mathematics **793**, Springer Verlag, 1980.

[19] J. Renault, *Représentations des produits croisés d'algèbres de groupoïdes*, J. Operator Theory **18** (1987), 67-97.

[20] J.-L. Tu, *La conjecture de Novikov pour les feuilletages hyperboliques*, K-theory **16** (1999), 129-184.

[21] J.-L. Tu, P. Xu and C. Laurent-Gengoux, *Twisted K-theory of differentiable stacks*, Ann. Sci. Ens **37** (2004), no. 6, 841-910.

[22] A. Weinstein, *Groupoids: unifying internal and external symmetry*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 43 (1996), 744–752.

[23] A. Weinstein and A. Cannas da Silva, *Geometric models for noncommutative algebras*, Berkeley Mathematics Lecture Notes, 10. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Berkeley Center for Pure and Applied Mathematics, Berkeley, CA, 1999.

[24] J. J. Westman, *Harmonic analysis on groupoids*, Pacific J. Math. 27, no. 3 (1968), 621-632.

[25] J. J. Westman, *Locally trivial C^r-groupoids and their representations*, Pacific J. Math. 20 (1967).

[26] S. Yamagami, *On primitive ideal spaces of C^*-algebras over certain locally compact groupoids*, Mappings of operator algebras (Philadelphia, PA, 1988), 199-204, Progr. Math., 84, Birkhuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.